

of this parameter, which may have altered the result obtained. The only curative treatment is surgical resection,<sup>11</sup> although recurrence rates of up to 15% are estimated, according to published series.<sup>4-12</sup>

## References

- Wilson RW, Gallateau-Salle F, Moran CA. Desmoid tumors of the pleura: a clinicopathologic mimic of localized fibrous tumor. *Mod Pathol*. 1999;12:9-14. PMID: 9950156.
- Doerge KW. Fibro-sarcoma of the mediastinum. *Ann Surg*. 1930;92:955-60. PMID: 17866430.
- Hohenforst-Schmidt W, Grapatsas K, Dahm M, Zarogoulidis P, Leivaditis V, Kotoulas C, et al. Solitary fibrous tumor: a center's experience and an overview of the symptomatology, the diagnostic and therapeutic procedures of this rare tumor. *Respir Med Case Rep*. 2017;21:99-104. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rmcr.2017.04.007>.
- Han G, Zhang Z, Shen X, Wang K, Zhao Y, He J, et al. Doege-Potter syndrome. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2017;96:e7417. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/md.00000000000007417>.
- Takizawa I, Saito T, Kitamura Y, Arai K, Kawaguchi M, Takahashi K, et al. Primary solitary fibrous tumor (SFT) in the retroperitoneum. *Urol Oncol Semin Orig Invest*. 2008;26:254-9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.urolonc.2007.03.024>.
- Avramenko A, Lahjibi-Paulet H, Gibault L, Roussel A, Grand B, le Pimpec-Barthes F. Doege-Potter syndrome: hypoglycaemic coma in a 90-year old due to a solitary fibrous tumour. *Age Ageing*. 2017;46:527-9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afw221>.
- Brozzetti S, D'Andrea N, Limiti MR, Pisanelli MC, de Angelis R, Cavallaro A. Clinical behavior of solitary fibrous tumors of the pleura. An immunohistochemical study. *Anticancer Res*. 2000;20:4701-6. PMID: 11205204.
- Gold JS, Antonescu CR, Hajdu C, Ferrone CR, Hussain M, Lewis JJ, et al. Clinicopathologic correlates of solitary fibrous tumors. *Cancer*. 2002;94:1057-68. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cncr.10328>.
- Kim DW, Na KJ, Yun JS, Song SY. Doege-potter syndrome: a report of a histologically benign but clinically malignant case. *J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2017;12:64. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13019-017-0630-4>.
- Hosaka S, Katagiri H, Wasa J, Murata H, Takahashi M. Solitary fibrous tumor in the pelvis: Induced hypoglycemia associated with insulin-like growth factor II. *J Orthop Sci*. 2015;20:439-43. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00776-013-0462-6>.
- Kalebi AY, Hale MJ, Wong ML, Hoffman T, Murray J. Surgically cured hypoglycemia secondary to pleural solitary fibrous tumour: case report and update review on the Doege-Potter syndrome. *J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2009;4:45. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1749-8090-4-45>.
- Forster C, Roumy A, Gonzalez M. Solitary fibrous tumor of the pleura with Doege-Potter syndrome: second recurrence in a 93-year-old female. *SAGE Open Med Case Rep*. 2019;7. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/2050313X18823468>, 2050313X18823468.

Juan Diego Alvarez Mavarez,<sup>a,\*</sup> Manuel Angel Villanueva Montes,<sup>a</sup> Manuel Ricardo Rodríguez Seoane,<sup>a</sup> Michelle Leung Shao<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Servicio de Neumología, Hospital Universitario San Agustín, Avilés, Asturias, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Servicio de Cirugía torácica, Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias, Oviedo, Asturias, Spain

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [juandiego06@hotmail.com](mailto:juandiego06@hotmail.com) (J.D. Alvarez Mavarez).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arbr.2019.04.008>  
1579-2129/

© 2019 SEPAR. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

## When should we measure self-efficacy as an aid to smoking cessation?\*



### ¿En qué momento deberíamos medir la autoeficacia para ayudar a dejar de fumar?

To the Editor,

In order to improve interventions to assist in smoking cessation (SC), the multiple facets that make up this addiction and the factors behind relapses must be better understood.<sup>1,2</sup> Self-efficacy (SE), understood as a person's belief in their ability to succeed in a particular situation, has been reliably associated with smoking abstinence and relapse, and is therefore an important target in anti-smoking interventions.<sup>3,4</sup> The objective of our study was to assess the association between SE, measured at the baseline visit, and abstinence at 12 months.

To this end, we performed a multicenter observational study of consecutive patients who attended SC clinics between October 2014 and October 2015. Patient demographic variables and smoking status were collected. SE was assessed from 2 questions included in the Richmond and Khimji-Watts questionnaires for measuring SC motivation<sup>5</sup> (Table 1). The statistical analysis was performed using the IBM SPSS 20.0 package for Windows. Logistic regression models were constructed to assess the association between SE and the outcome variable (SC success or failure), using the outcome variable as the dependent variable, the SE variable as the independent variable, and the remaining variables as control variables and adjustment. The level of statistical significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ .

\* Please cite this article as: de Granda-Orive JI, et al. ¿En qué momento deberíamos medir la autoeficacia para ayudar a dejar de fumar? *Arch Bronconeumol*. 2019;55:654-656.

The study included 275 subjects, 130 men (47.3%), with an overall average age of 51.2 years (SD 10.7). In total, 53.5% (147 subjects) were successful in SC. The mean values of the different motivation tests were: visual analogue scale, 8 (1.9); Richmond questionnaire, 7.9 (1.5); the Henry Mondor Hospital test, 13 (2.7); and the Khimji-Watts questionnaire, 11.4 (2.5). The average overall degree of dependency, as measured by the Fagerström test (FTCD) was 5.9 (2.2), with no differences in the degree of dependency between those who quit smoking (mean 5.9, SD 2.2), and those who failed to quit (mean 5.9, SD 2.4). We found no significant differences in the analyzed variables between those who were successful in SC compared to those who were not, whether quantitative (age, age of onset, daily consumption of cigarettes, number of years smoked, accumulated consumption in pack-years, number of previous SC attempts, motivation, and FTCD questionnaires) or qualitative (sex, marital status, level of education, and employment status), except in educational level, where subjects with a secondary education had a 17.1% (95% CI: 4.8%-29.4%;  $p = 0.004$ ) greater rate of success, while those with university studies had a 12.4% (95% CI: 0.7%-24.0%;  $p = 0.026$ ) greater rate of failure. We did not find a statistically significant association between SE and the outcome variable (Table 1) in any of the possible logistic regression models constructed when the SE variable was examined both qualitatively and quantitatively, and or when controlling for sex, age, daily consumption of cigarettes, number of years smoked, number of previous attempts to quit, FTCD, and educational level. Nor did we find any differences in the multivariate analysis between men and women in the association between SE and the outcome variable. Cigarette dependence as measured by the FTCD score was not associated with the probability of SC. We conclude that neither SE nor the degree of dependence were predictive of the likelihood of SC success or failure, and only the educational level of participants showed a statistically significant association with the SC outcome.

**Table 1**

Frequency distribution of the categories of question 4 from the Richmond test and question 3 from the Khimji-Watts test, by outcome variable and comparison between success and failure.

Variable	Overall series N (%)	Outcome		p
		Failure	Success	
Question 4 of the Richmond test: Do you think that you will still be a smoker in 6 months? (N = 274)				
Definitely not/perhaps <sup>a</sup>	52 (19.0)	19 (15.0)	33 (22.4)	0.255
Yes	134 (48.9)	67 (52.8)	67 (45.6)	
Definitely yes	88 (32.1)	41 (32.3)	47 (32.0)	0.115
Definitely not/perhaps	52 (19.0)	19 (15.0)	33 (22.4)	
Yes/definitely yes	222 (81.0)	108 (85.0)	114 (77.6)	
Question 3 of the Khimji-Watts test: What chance of success would you have if you tried to quit? (N = 275)				
Doubtful	27 (9.8)	17 (13.3)	10 (6.8)	0.188
Medium	109 (39.6)	50 (39.1)	59 (40.0)	
Great	139 (50.5)	61 (47.7)	78 (53.1)	0.371
Doubtful/medium	136 (49.5)	67 (52.3)	69 (46.9)	
Great	139 (50.5)	61 (47.7)	78 (53.1)	

N: sample size; p: degree of significance.

<sup>a</sup> The categories of “definitely not” and “perhaps” were grouped, given the limited number of data points in the first category.

The most important finding of our study is that we found no association between SE and SC. Gwaltney et al.<sup>3</sup> found that SE is a poor predictor of abstinence when it is measured before making an attempt to quit (as observed in our study) and that the association is rather more robust if it is measured after “D-Day” (after cessation). SE varies in its ability to predict abstinence over time<sup>3,6</sup>: its association with abstinence is greater when measured 1 week after “D-Day”,<sup>3</sup> but this relationship weakens when measured later; that is to say, SE provides a better prediction of proximal behavior.<sup>3</sup> Many of the smokers who quit smoking have relapses and setbacks in their attempt to quit; thus, SE diminishes during these episodes, and a bidirectional association was identified between the degree of SE at the beginning and the time to the first relapse: a significant decrease in SE is followed by a return to consumption and vice versa.<sup>4,7–9</sup> If the association between SE and abstinence is only modest, it is unlikely that fostering interventions in SE will have a substantial impact on final abstinence, because this factor clearly varies in response to the consumption of tobacco and is a better predictor of proximal conduct.<sup>3,10</sup> Indeed, SE correlates positively with success in maintaining abstinence, but negatively in cessation attempts.<sup>11</sup> Believing that “I can give up whenever I want” leads to a deferral of the decision to quit.<sup>11,12</sup>

In our study, the only variable that showed an association with abstinence at 1 year was educational level: individuals with secondary education were more likely to quit than subjects with basic or university studies. In general, there is no unanimity in considering SE as a good predictor of abstinence. Nerin et al.<sup>13</sup> found that smokers with basic studies among a Spanish population had higher cessation rates than those with average or higher educational levels, although statistical significance was not reached. Hymowitz et al.<sup>14</sup> found no differences in the cumulative time spent in formal education; in contrast, Diemert et al.<sup>15</sup> observed that individuals with a low educational level made more attempts to quit, and those with a high educational level achieved better rates of abstinence, as already reported by Holm et al.<sup>16</sup> and Walker et al.<sup>17</sup>

Our study has several limitations: (1) we did not use specific scales for measuring SE; (2) the study might not have sufficient statistical power to identify differences; (3) our findings were collected from smokers who voluntarily attended our SC clinics, so would probably be more motivated; (4) the tests were performed in different settings and locations, which might not reflect what would happen if the test had been administered to the general population of smokers; and (5) the use of questionnaires in patients can elicit results that are not always accurate. All this variability could lead to different outcomes.

We have not been able to show that SE prior to cessation has a predictive association with SC success or failure. We believe, therefore, that SE should be measured after the SC intervention, when it is based on the successful execution of the SC attempt.

## Funding

This study was supported with a SEPAR 2013 grant.

## Conflict of interests

JIG-O has received honoraria for presentations, participation in clinical studies, and publications from (alphabetical order): Astra Zeneca, Esteve, Gebro, Menarini, Pfizer, and Rovi. CRC has received honoraria for presentations, participation in clinical studies, and publications from (alphabetical order): Esteve, Gebro, Menarini, and Pfizer. LL-A has received honoraria for presentations, participation in clinical studies, and publications from (alphabetical order): Astra-Zeneca, Boehringer, Chiesi, Esteve, Ferrer, Grifols, GSK, Menarini, Novartis, and Pfizer. SS-R has received honoraria for presentations, participation in clinical studies, and publications from (alphabetical order): Boehringer, Esteve, Pfizer, and Sandoz. The other authors state that they have no conflict of interests.

## References

- West R. ABC of smoking cessation. Assessment of dependence and motivation to stop smoking. *BMJ*. 2004;328:338–9.
- West R. The multiple facets of cigarette addiction and what they mean for encouraging and helping smokers to stop. *COPD*. 2009;6:277–83.
- Gwaltney CJ, Metrik J, Kahler CW, Shiffman S. Self-efficacy and smoking cessation: a meta-analysis. *Psychol Addict Behav*. 2009;23(March):56–66. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0013529>.
- Clyde M, Pipe A, Reid R, Els C, Tulloch H. A bidirectional path analysis model of smoking cessation self-efficacy and concurrent smoking status: impact on abstinence outcomes. *Addict Biol*. 2018;(August). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/adb.12647>.
- Martínez-Muñiz MÁ, et al. Is there an association between the degree of nicotine dependence and the motivation to stop smoking? *Arch Bronconeumol*. 2018;(October). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arbres.2018.08.003>, pii: S0300-2896(18)30321-1.
- Taniguchi C, Tanaka H, Saka H, Oze I, Tachibana K, Nozaki Y, et al. Changes in self-efficacy associated with success in quitting smoking in participants in Japanese smoking cessation therapy. *Int J Nurs Pract*. 2018;24(August):e12647. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ijn.12647>.
- Gwaltney CJ, Shiffman S, Balabanis MH, Paty JA. Dynamic self-efficacy and outcome expectancies: prediction of smoking lapse and relapse. *J Abnorm Psychol*. 2005;114:661–75. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0021-843x.114.4.661>.
- Shiffman S. Dynamic influences on smoking relapse process. *J Pers*. 2005;73:1715–48. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-3506.2005.00364.x>.
- Perkins KA, Parzynski C, Mercincavage M, Conklin CA, Fonte CA. Is self-efficacy for smoking abstinence a cause of, or a reflection on,

- smoking behavior change? *Exp Clin Psychopharmacol.* 2012;20:56-62, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0025482>.
10. Spaulding A, Haley DR, Zhao M. Highly self-efficacious or simply delusional? *Int J Health Sci.* 2014;2:01-17. Disponible en: [http://ijhsnet.com/journals/ijhs/Vol.2\\_No.1\\_March.2014/1.pdf](http://ijhsnet.com/journals/ijhs/Vol.2_No.1_March.2014/1.pdf). [consultado 12 de enero de 2019].
  11. Moreno Arnedillo JJ, Herrero García de Osma F. Factores asociados al éxito en programas para dejar de fumar. *Adicciones.* 2000;12:329-41.
  12. Hughes JR, Naud S. Perceived role of motivation and self-efficacy in smoking cessation: a secondary data analysis. *Addict Behav.* 2016;61:58-61, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2016.05.010>.
  13. Nerín I, Novella P, Cruzelaegui A, Beamonte A, Sobradriel N, Gargallo P. Factores predictores de éxito a lo 6 meses en fumadores tratados en una unidad de tabaquismo. *Arch Bronconeumol.* 2004;40:558-62.
  14. Hymowitz N, Cummings KM, Hyland A, Lynn WR, Pechacek TF, Hartwell TD. Predictors of smoking cessation in a cohort of adult smokers followed for five years. *Tob Control.* 1997; 6 2 Suppl:S57-62.
  15. Diemert LM, Bondy SJ, Brown KS, Manske S. Young adult smoking cessation: predictors of quit attempts and abstinence. *Am J Public Health.* 2013;103:449-53.
  16. Holm M, Schiöler L, Andersson E, Forsberg B, Gislason T, Janson C, et al. Predictors of smoking cessation: a longitudinal study in a large cohort of smokers. *Respir Med.* 2017;132:164-9.
  17. Walker JF, Loprinzi PD. Longitudinal examination of predictors of smoking cessation in a national sample of U.S. adolescent and young adult smokers. *Nicotine Res Tob.* 2014;16:820-7.

José Ignacio de Granda-Orive,<sup>a,\*</sup> José Francisco Pascual-Lledó,<sup>b</sup> Segismundo Solano-Reina,<sup>c</sup> Santos Asensio-Sánchez,<sup>b</sup> Marcos García-Rueda,<sup>d</sup> Manuel Ángel Martínez-Muñoz,<sup>e</sup> Lourdes Lázaro-Asegurado,<sup>f</sup> Susana Luhnig,<sup>g</sup> Daniel Bujulbasich,<sup>h</sup> Rogelio Pendino,<sup>h</sup> Isabel Cienfuegos-Agustín,<sup>a</sup> Carlos A. Jiménez-Ruiz<sup>i</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> Servicio de Neumología, Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain  
<sup>b</sup> Servicio de Neumología, Hospital General Universitario de Alicante, Alicante, Spain  
<sup>c</sup> Servicio de Neumología, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid, Spain  
<sup>d</sup> Servicio de Neumología, Hospital Carlos Haya, Málaga, Spain  
<sup>e</sup> Servicio de Neumología, Hospital San Agustín, Avilés (Asturias), Spain  
<sup>f</sup> Servicio de Neumología, Hospital Universitario de Burgos, Burgos, Spain  
<sup>g</sup> Instituto Médico Humana, Centro Asistencial de Consulta Externa, Córdoba, Argentina  
<sup>h</sup> Servicio de Neumología, Sanatorio Nuestra Señora del Rosario, Centro Médico IPAM, Rosario, Argentina  
<sup>i</sup> Unidad Especializada de Tabaquismo de la Comunidad de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [igo01m@gmail.com](mailto:igo01m@gmail.com) (J.I. de Granda-Orive).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arbr.2019.04.010>

1579-2129/

© 2019 SEPAR. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

## Respiratory failure due to pancreatic-thoracic fistula<sup>☆</sup>



### Insuficiencia respiratoria secundaria a fístula pancreático-torácica

To the Editor,

Pancreatic-thoracic fistula (PTF) is an extremely rare complication of chronic pancreatitis or pancreatic trauma. We report the case of a patient in whom this complication resulted in severe respiratory failure.

This was a 46-year-old man, with a history of smoking, alcoholism, emphysematous type COPD, and chronic pancreatitis with pancreatic pseudocyst, which required percutaneous drainage 5 months prior to the admission referred to in this report.

He was taken to a hospital emergency department by the ambulance services in a situation of severe hypoxemic respiratory failure and semi-consciousness. The chest X-ray showed an interstitial alveolar pattern throughout the right lung field and left hemithorax white-out, with right tracheal deviation, suggestive of massive pleural effusion. Orotracheal intubation was performed, after which a left chest tube was placed. Severe respiratory failure persisted, and a bilateral interstitial alveolar pattern with an image of left pneumothorax was observed, probably associated with limited lung compliance and mechanical ventilation. Another left chest tube was then placed, without improvement. The patient was referred to our center. Pleural fluid biochemistry was: glucose 181 mg/dl, protein 3 g/dl, LDH 528 IU/l, and amylase 14,106 IU/l. Microbiological cultures of pleural fluid, tracheal aspirate, blood, and urine were negative. In view of these results, the possibility of PTF was suggested. There were no signs of heart failure, no changes

were observed on echocardiogram, and no involvement of other organs or systemic inflammatory response were detected. A third chest tube was placed, with some reduction of the pneumothorax. The patient subsequently improved progressively, with disappearance of the alveolar-interstitial infiltrate, and he was weaned from mechanical ventilation after a 9-day of stay in the intensive care unit. We then performed a CT scan of the chest and abdomen which revealed PTF (Figs. 1 and 2). The patient was discharged home.

PTF is caused by a disruption of the pancreatic duct, which causes pancreatic secretions to leak to the thorax through the aortic or esophageal hiatus,<sup>1</sup> causing mediastinal pseudocyst,<sup>2,3</sup> pancreatic-bronchial fistula, pancreatic-pericardial fistula,<sup>4</sup> or pancreatic-pleural fistula.<sup>5</sup> Most cases of PTF are caused by chronic



**Fig. 1.** CT with intravenous contrast (in axial plane) displaying an oval-shaped fluid collection with well-defined walls (star), in a retrogastric site that communicates (solid arrow) with a left pleural effusion (arrow head).

<sup>☆</sup> Please cite this article as: Arméstar F, et al. Insuficiencia respiratoria secundaria a fístula pancreático-torácica. *Arch Bronconeumol.* 2019;55:656-657.