

ARCHIVOS DE Bronconeumología



www.archbronconeumol.org

Scientific Letter

Factors Linked to Frequent Attendance in the Out-of-hospital Setting by Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

To the Director,

Frequent attendance (FA) is an excessive use of health services according to a patient's risk profile. In the primary care setting, patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) are the most frequent attenders, accumulating 10 or more medical visits annually.¹ This greater demand has been associated with different determinants, such as a high number of comorbidities, functional status, quality of life, socioeconomic, demographic or organizational factors.^{2,3}

FA affects patients, professionals and the healthcare system itself. Because of this, there is of great importance to ascertain the variables associated with this phenomenon. In the case of COPD patients, there is no composite picture of the effect of all the variables analyzed, which would serve to focus the analysis from the personal, clinical, healthcare and organizational perspectives that might affect the likelihood of becoming a frequently attending patient in the out-of-hospital setting.

The aim of this study was to ascertain the profile of COPD patients who are frequent attenders in the out-of-hospital setting by conducting a population-based case–control study. The study population consisted of national health cardholders from the Santiago de Compostela health area (Galicia, Spain) with a diagnosis of COPD prior to 2011, who were allocated to Primary Care Practitioners (PCPs) and had an active clinical episode of COPD as of 21/12/2016 in their electronic medical record (EMR) (ICPC-2 R95). Participants were required to have had a minimum of one spirometry test in the preceding three years, be over 35 years old, and have an active prescription of medications associated with obstructive diseases (R03 therapeutic group ATC). All patients had to be alive in December 2016 to be included.

We formed two groups of cases and one group of controls, classified according to the intensity of care requested from out-of-hospital settings (including PCPs and out-of-hospital emergency services) from December 2016 through December 2017. To establish these groups, the 75th percentile (P75) and the 90th percentile (P90) of care intensity during the period were defined as cutpoints. The following groups were formed: a control group of "non-frequent attenders" (NF) with 0–10 visits (<P75); a first group of cases "moderately frequent attenders" (MF), with 11–19 visits (P75-90); and a second group of cases "extremely frequent attenders" (EF), with 20 or more visits (>P90). If death occurred, we used the number of contacts with the health system until the date of death.

This study was approved by the Santiago-Lugo Research Ethics Committee under registry code 2016/196. All data were collected retrospectively and were obtained without any type of intervention or possible modification of the clinical disease course. Informed consent was not required.

The data were obtained via EMR and different health information systems. The following variables were collected: (a) socio-demographic characteristics; (b) smoking habit; (c) comorbidities: anxiety, depression and dementia; CHA2DS2-VASc score⁴: estimation of the risk of stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation, which predisposes to thrombo-embolic stroke; Clinical Risk Groups (CRG)⁵: the CRG assigned to each patient was obtained in accordance with the clinical information available from their EMR in order to predict future resource use; (d) spirometry test, date and place of performance; (e) visits to out-of-hospital settings; (h) pharmacological treatment; (i) home oxygen therapy. The presence of out-of-hospital settings in patients' municipality of residence, distance to the hospital and number of deaths were recorded.

Multinomial logistic regression models were fitted to identify predictors of out-of-hospital attendance, using the NF group as reference. Odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated. The model's discrimination was analyzed using the area under the ROC curve (AUC), and its calibration using the Hosmer–Lemeshow test. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics v.19.0.

A total of 8861 persons had COPD in their EMR and of those 4274 were included in the study. No significant differences were observed by sex, however age was higher in the excluded group (71 vs 78). During the study period, 228 deaths occurred.

Of the 4274 subjects, 2637 (61.7%) were allocated to the NF, 1140 (26.7%) to the MF, and 497 (11.6%) to the EF attendance group. Table 1 shows the main characteristics of the participants.

Presence of anxiety, depression and number of re-admissions were the factors most closely associated with MF attendance. In contrast, the profile of EF attenders was mainly determined by presence of dementia, depression and number of re-admissions (Table 2).

The fitted models showed acceptable discrimination in the case of EF attendance (AUC: 0.72 [95% CI 0.69–0.74; p < 0.0001]) but this was not so for the model of MF attendance (AUC: 0.61 [95% CI 0.59–0.63; p < 0.0001)].

According to our results, age, sex and the presence of mental disorders play an important role in FA among COPD patients. Our findings are in line with other studies which also associated higher age, female gender^{6,7} and the presence of depression, dementia or anxiety with a greater number of healthcare requests.^{1,3,8–11} Tobacco use reflects an interesting aspect, smokers show a lower degree of MF attendance. There is the possibility that MF atten-

Table 1

Main characteristics of the study population.

| Variable | Global (N=4274) n(%) | NF (N=2637) n(%) | MF (N=1140) n (%) | EF (<i>N</i> = 497) <i>n</i> (%) |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| Sex Women | 1206 (28.2%) | 680 (25.1%) | 346 (30.4%) | 180 (36.2%) |
| Men | 3068 (71.8%) | 1957 (74.3%) | 794 (69.6%) | 317 (63.8%) |
| Age >70 years | 2277 (53.3%) | 1330 (49.3%) | 691 (60.6%) | 286 (57.5%) |
| Tobacco use Yes | 958 (22.4%) | 640 (24.3%) | 208 (18.2%) | 110 (22.1%) |
| Depression Yes | 382 (8.9%) | 178 (6.8%) | 130 (11.4%) | 74 (14.9%) |
| Dementia Yes | 46 (1.1%) | 20 (0.8%) | 14 (1.2%) | 12 (2.4%) |
| Anxiety disorders | | | | |
| Yes | 152 (3.6%) | 73 (2.8%) | 54 (4.7%) | 25 (5.0%) |
| CHA2D2S-VASC2 Low risk (0–1) Medium risk (2–4) High risk (5–8) | 1207 (28.2%) 2609 (61.1%) 458 (10.7%) | 868 (32.9%) 1549 (58.7%) 220 (8.3%) | 233 (20.4%) 763 (66.9%) 144 (12.6%) | 106 (21.3%) 297 (59.8%) 94 (18.9%) |
| | 100 (1017/0) | 220 (0.0%) | 111(1210/0) | 01(10000) |
| Influenza vaccination Yes | 2681 (62.7%) | 1555 (59.0%) | 792 (69.5%) | 334 (67.2%) |
| Medications | | | | |
| H02AB (oral glucocorticoids) | 1443 (33.8%) | 711 (27.0%) | 482 (42.3%) | 250 (50.3%) |
| R03AC (selective beta-2-adrenoreceptor agonists) | 2045 (47.8%) | 1180 (44.7%) | 585 (51.4%) | 279 (56.1%) |
| R03AK (adrenergics in combination with corticosteroids | 2584 (60.5%) | 1551 (58.8%) | 705 (61.9%) | 328 (66.0%) |
| or other drugs, excl. anticholinergics) | 774 (10.000) | | 222 (10 50) | 111(00.000) |
| RO3BA (glucocorticoids) | 771 (18.0%) 2648 (62.0%) | 435 (16.5%) 1578 (59.8%) | 222 (19.5%) 731 (64.1%) | 114 (22.9%) |
| R03BB (anticholinergics) R03DA (xanthines) | 342 (8.0%) | 187 (7.1%) | 105 (9.2%) | 339 (68.2%) 59 (10.1%) |
| R03DX (others, for example: roflumilast) | 283 (6.6%) | 147 (5.6%) | 90 (7.9%) | 46 (9.3%) |
| Home oxygen therapy | | | | |
| Yes | 960 (22.5%) | 532 (20.2%) | 270 (23.7%) | 158 (31.8%) |
| Total admissions | | | | |
| =>1 | 1015 (23.7%) | 434 (16.5%) | 346 (30.4%) | 235 (47.3%) |
| Respiratory-cause admissions =>1 | 586 (13.7%) | 256 (9.7%) | 198 (17.4%) | 132 (26.6%) |
| Distance to hospital | | | | |
| =>16 km | 2280 (53.3%) | 1355 (51.4%) | 666 (58.4%) | 259 (52.1%) |
| Out-of-hospital emergency service in town | | | | |
| Yes | 2844 (66.5%) | 1733 (65.7%) | 768 (67.4%) | 343 (69.0%) |

NF: non-frequent attenders; MF: moderately frequent attenders; EF: extremely frequent attenders.

ders endeavor to make less use of primary care to avoid receiving anti-smoking counseling. $^{12}\,$

In both profiles, patients who reside closer to out-of-hospital settings were observed to have a higher likelihood of being frequent attenders at these facilities. One explanation might possibly be a degree of perceived difficulty in accessing hospital services, which leads patients to seek greater support in out-of-hospital services.¹³ It should be mentioned that, in this study's healthcare area, there is just one tertiary hospital in a city and therefore in this context distance to hospital can be assimilated as rurality.

The main limitation is the exclusion of a substantial percentage of subjects for not meeting the spirometry criteria. We included solely those subjects that had a confirmed diagnosis – with available spirometry results – to avoid including subjects that might not have COPD. The use of cutpoints to assess FA could also be seen as a limitation. However, the cutpoints used are similar to those applied in previous studies.^{14,15} The information regarding comorbidities was obtained from the EMR and we cannot disregard some misclassification. Furthermore, the reason for the visit to the out-of-hospital setting could not be specified. Lastly, there are not studies

with AUC information from other models therefore it is not possible to compare our values with those from other studies.

This study also has strengths. The inclusion of the whole population of patients allocated to a given health area ensures representativeness of the local population and serves to reduce patient selection bias. Also, a uniform data-collection system was applied. Data loss was zero since the databases are regularly updated. The follow-up period was long enough to allow for the occurrence of events of interest. Lastly, our study shows that the joint use of health information systems by experts affords an enormous potential for the generation of useful scientific evidence for clinical decision-making.

In conclusion, the profile of both FA groups (MF and EF) in outof-hospital care attests to the complexity confronting the clinical and care management of COPD patients. Factors associated with FA may help identify certain groups of patients who, by reason of their chronicity and complexity, would benefit from actions which they are currently not receiving and on which the healthcare system should lay special stress.

Table 2

Multinomial logistic regression, adjusted for age and sex.

| Variable | | MF | | | EF | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|------|-----------|-----------------|
| | OR | 95% CI | p-Value | OR | 95% CI | <i>p</i> -Value |
| Sex Men | 0.92 | 0.78-1.10 | 0.38 | 0.69 | 0.55-0.88 | <0.001 |
| Age >=70 | 0.99 | 0.98-1.00 | 0.122 | 0.98 | 0.97-1.00 | 0.01 |
| Tobacco use Yes | 0.79 | 0.65-0.96 | 0.02 | 1.02 | 0.78-1.33 | 0.88 |
| Depression Yes | 1.67 | 1.30-2.15 | <0.001 | 2.14 | 1.56-2.93 | <0.001 |
| Anxiety Yes | 1.72 | 1.18–2.51 | 0.01 | 1.54 | 0.93-2.55 | 0.1 |
| Dementia Yes | 1.22 | 0.60-2.49 | 0.58 | 2.36 | 1.09-5.15 | 0.03 |
| CRG level >=5 | 1.05 | 1.00-1.11 | 0.06 | 1.02 | 0.94-1.10 | 0.65 |
| CHA2DS2-VASc score >=2 | 1.21 | 1.12-1.30 | <0.001 | 1.34 | 1.22-1.48 | <0.001 |
| Influenza vaccination Yes | 1.44 | 1.23-1.69 | <0.001 | 1.42 | 1.13-1.78 | <0.001 |
| Spirometry in primary ca Yes | nre 1.48 | 1.26-1.74 | <0.001 | 1.65 | 1.32-2.08 | <0.001 |
| Home oxygen therapy Yes | 0.98 | 0.81-1.18 | 0.84 | 1.31 | 1.02-1.69 | 0.03 |
| Total admissions >2 | 1.71 | 1.47-1.99 | <0.001 | 2.56 | 2.17-3.02 | <0.001 |
| Respiratory-cause admis. >=2 | sions 0.94 | 0.76-1.15 | 0.52 | 0.78 | 0.63-0.98 | 0.03 |
| Distance to hospital >=16 km | 1.01 | 1.01-1.02 | <0.001 | 1.01 | 1.00-1.02 | <0.001 |
| Out-of-hospital emergen Yes | cy service in town 0.79 | 0.68-0.93 | <0.001 | 1.3 | 1.04-1.62 | 0.02 |

MF: moderately frequent attenders; EF: extremely frequent attenders; OR: odds ratio; 95% CI: 95% confidence interval; CRG: clinical risk group.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Ethical approval

This study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Santiago-Lugo Research Ethics Committee under registry code 2016/196.

Author's contributions

ME López-Pardo: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft.

A Ruano-Ravina: Conceptualization, Methodology, Surpervision, Writing – review and editing.

L Valdés-Cuadrado: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – review and editing.

C Represas-Represas: Methodology, Writing – review and editing.

C Candal-Pedreira: Formal analysis, Writing – review and editing.

M Pérez-Ríos: Formal analysis, Writing – review and editing.

All authors have read and provided intellectual input to the manuscript.

All authors have approved the final version of the manuscript and take public responsibility of its content.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

References

- Santalahti A, Luutonen S, Vahlberg T, Rautava P. Persistent frequent attenders in primary care: case-control study comparing chronic diseases of five-year and one-year frequent attenders and features of the typical five-year frequent attenders; 2019. Available from: https://www.researchsquare.com [cited 22.05.21].
- Fernández-García S, Represas-Represas C, Ruano-Raviña A, Botana-Rial M, Martínez-Reglero C, Fernández Villar A. Dependence in performing activities as a predictor of mortality following hospitalization for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbation. Arch Bronconeumol [Internet]. 2020;56:291–7. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31740082/ [cited 29.05.21].
- Pymont C, Butterworth P. Longitudinal cohort study describing persistent frequent attenders in Australian primary healthcare. BMJ Open [Internet]. 2015;5:e008975, http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008975 [cited 29.05.21].
- 4. Lip GYH, Nieuwlaat R, Pisters R, Lane DA, Crijns HJGM, Andresen D, et al. Refining clinical risk stratification for predicting stroke and thromboembolism in atrial fibrillation using a novel risk factor-based approach: the Euro Heart Survey on atrial fibrillation. Chest [Internet]. 2010;137:263–72. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19762550/ [cited 26.07.22].
- Hughes JS, Averill RF, Eisenhandler J, Goldfield NI, Muldoon J, Neff JM, et al. Clinical Risk Groups (CRGs) a classification system for risk-adjusted capitation-based payment and health care management. Med Care [Internet]. 2004;42:81–90. Available from: https://journals.lww.com/lww-medicalcare/Fulltext/2004/01000/Clinical_Risk .Groups_CRGs__A_Classification.11.aspx [cited 26.07.22].
- 6. Dal Negro RW, Bonadiman L, Turco P. Prevalence of different comorbidities in COPD patients by gender and GOLD stage. Multidiscip Respir Med [Internet].

2015;10. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26246895/ [cited 10.06.21].

- Agusti A, Calverley PMA, Celli B, Coxson HO, Edwards LD, Lomas DA, et al. Characterisation of COPD heterogeneity in the ECLIPSE cohort. Respir Res [Internet]. 2010;11. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20831787/ [cited 10.06.21].
- Shippee ND, Shah ND, May CR, Mair FS, Montori VM. Cumulative complexity: a functional, patient-centered model of patient complexity can improve research and practice. J Clin Epidemiol [Internet]. 2012;65:1041–51. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22910536/ [cited 10.06.21].
- Parejo Maestre N, Lendínez-de la Cruz JM, Bermúdez-Torres FM, Gónzalez-Contero L, Gutierrez-Espinosa de los Monteros MP, Espejo-Almazán María T. Prevalencia y factores asociados a la hiperfrecuentación en la consulta de medicina de familia. Semergen [Internet]. 2016;42:216–24. Available from: https://www.elsevier.es/es-revista-medicina-familia-semergen-40-articuloprevalencia-factores-asociados-hiperfrecuentacion-consulta-S1138359315 001835 [cited 10.06.21].
- Menéndez Granados N, Vaquero Abellán M, Toledano Estepa M, Pérez Díaz MM, Redondo Pedraza R. Frequent attendance in a Primary Health Care District. Gac Sanit [Internet]. 2019;33:38–44, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gaceta.2017.07.011 [cited 29.05.21].
- Ortega Tallón MA, Roca Figueres G, Iglesias Rodríguez M, Jurado Serrano JM. Pacientes hiperfrecuentadores de un centro de atención primaria: características sociodemográficas, clínicas y de utilización de los servicios sanitarios. Atención Primaria [Internet]. 2004;33:78–85. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7668804 [cited 10.06.21].
- Van Eerd EAM, Risør MB, Spigt M, Godycki-Cwirko M, Andreeva E, Francis N, et al. Why do physicians lack engagement with smoking cessation treatment in their COPD patients? A multinational qualitative study. Prim Care Respir Med [Internet]. 2017;27:1–6. Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41533-017-0038-6 [cited 16.06.21].
- 13. De Sousa Sena R, Ahmed S, Tan WC, Li PZ, Labonté L, Aaron SD, et al. Work productivity loss in mild to moderate COPD: lessons learned from the CanCOLD study [Internet]. Eur Respir J. 2017;50. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28899939/ [cited 10.06.21].

- Fuertes Goñi MC, Carracedo E, Lorda A, Arillo Crespo A, Gómez AR, Martinez Vera MJ. Intervención con pacientes hiperfrecuentadores persistentes en atención primaria. Med Gen [Internet]. 2017;6. Available from: https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/articulo?codigo=6357281&info=resumen &idioma=ENG [cited 10.06.21] ISSN-e 0214-8986.
- González-Peredo R, Prieto-Salceda MD, Campos-Caubet L, Fernández-Díaz F, García-Lago-Sierra, Incera-Alvear IM. Characteristics of hospital emergency departments users. Frequent users. Semergen. 2018;44:537–48.

María Estrella López-Pardo^a, Cristina Candal-Pedreira^{b,f}, Luis Valdés-Cuadrado^c, Cristina Represas-Represas^d, Alberto Ruano-Ravina^{b,e,f,*}, Mónica Pérez-Ríos^{b,e,f}

^a Galician Health Service, Santiago de Compostela, Spain
^b Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, University of Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain
^c Department of Pulmonology, University Clinical Teaching Hospital of Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain
^d Respiratory Medicine, Alvaro Cunqueiro University Teaching Hospital, Vigo, Spain

^e Consortium for Biomedical Research in Epidemiology and Public Health (CIBER en Epidemiología y Salud Pública/CIBERESP), Spain ^f Health Research Institute of Santiago de Compostela (Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria de Santiago de Compostela - IDIS), Santiago de Compostela, Spain

Corresponding author.

E-mail address: alberto.ruano@usc.es (A. Ruano-Ravina).