

Original

Prognostic Value of Frequent Exacerbations in Bronchiectasis: The Relationship With Disease Severity



Miguel Ángel Martínez-García^{a,*}, Rodrigo Athanazio^b, Giorgina Gramblicka^c, Mónica Corso^d,
Fernando Cavalcanti Lundgren^e, Mara Fernandes de Figueiredo^f, Francisco Arancibia^g,
Samia Rached^b, Rosa Girón^h, Luis Máiz Carroⁱ, David de la Rosa Carrillo^j, Concepción Prados^k,
Casilda Olveira^l

^a Pulmonary Service, Polytechnic and University La Fe Hospital, Valencia, Spain

^b Pulmonary Division, Heart Institute (InCor) Hospital das Clínicas da Faculdade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

^c Pneumology Service Hospital del Tórax, Dr A. Centrángolo, Buenos Aires, Argentina

^d Pneumology Service, Universidade Estadual de Campinas UNICAMP, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

^e Pneumology Service, Hospital Octávio de Freitas, Recife, PE, Brazil

^f Pneumology Service, Hospital de Messejana, Fortaleza, CE, Brazil

^g Pneumology Service, Instituto Nacional del Tórax, Santiago de Chile, Chile

^h Pneumology Service, Hosp. La Princesa, Madrid, Spain

ⁱ Pneumology Service, Hosp. Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain

^j Pneumology Unit, Hospital Platón, Barcelona, Spain

^k Pneumology Service, Hosp. La Paz-IS Carlos III, Madrid, Spain

^l Pneumology Service, Instituto de Investigación Biomédica de Málaga (IBIMA), Hospital Regional Universitario de Málaga/Universidad de Málaga, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 2 April 2018

Accepted 7 July 2018

Available online 16 August 2018

Keywords:

Bronchiectasis

Mortality

Exacerbations

Frequent exacerbator

BSI

FACED

E-FACED

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Bronchiectasis is a very heterogeneous disease but some homogeneous groups with similar clinical characteristics and prognosis have been identified. Exacerbations have been shown to have a negative impact on the natural history of bronchiectasis. The objective of this study was to identify the definition and characteristics of the “frequent exacerbator patient” with the best prognostic value and its relationship with the severity of bronchiectasis.

Methods: A historical cohort of 651 patients diagnosed with bronchiectasis was included. They had all received 5 years of follow-up since their radiological diagnosis. Exacerbation was defined as a worsening of the symptoms derived from bronchiectasis that required antibiotic treatment. The main outcome was all-cause mortality at the end of follow-up.

Results: The mean age was 48.2 (16) years (32.9% males). 39.8% had chronic infection by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Mean BSI, FACED, and E-FACED were 7 (4.12), 2.36 (1.68), and 2.89 (2.03), respectively. There were 95 deaths during follow-up. The definition of the “frequent exacerbator patient” that presented the greatest predictive power for mortality was based on at least two exacerbations/year or one hospitalization/year (23.3% of patients; AUC-ROC: 0.75 [95% CI: 0.69–0.81]). Its predictive power was independent of the patient’s initial severity. The clinical characteristics of the frequent exacerbator patient according to this definition varied according to the initial severity of bronchiectasis, presence of systemic inflammation, and treatment.

Conclusions: The combination of two exacerbations or one hospitalization per year is the definition of frequent exacerbator patient that has the best predictive value of mortality independent of the initial severity of bronchiectasis.

© 2018 SEPAR. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: mianmartinezgarcia@gmail.com (M.Á. Martínez-García).

Palabras clave:
Bronquiectasias
Mortalidad
Exacerbaciones
Exacerbador frecuente
BSI
FACED
E-FACED

Valor pronóstico de las exacerbaciones en las bronquiectasias: relación con la gravedad de la enfermedad

R E S U M E N

Introducción: Las bronquiectasias son una enfermedad muy heterogénea en la que se han identificado algunos grupos homogéneos con características clínicas y pronóstico similares. El objetivo de este estudio fue establecer la definición y características del “paciente exacerbador frecuente” que presenta mejor valor pronóstico y su relación con la gravedad de las bronquiectasias.

Métodos: Se analizó una cohorte histórica de 651 pacientes diagnosticados de bronquiectasias. Se siguió a todos ellos durante cinco años desde su diagnóstico radiológico. La exacerbación se definió como un empeoramiento de los síntomas de las bronquiectasias para el que se requiera tratamiento antibiótico. El principal resultado analizado fue la mortalidad por todas las causas al final del seguimiento.

Resultados: La edad media fue 48,2 (16) años (39,2% de hombres). El 38,9% tuvo infección por *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Los valores medios de BSI, FACED y E-FACED fueron 7 (4,12), 2,36 (1,68) y 2,89 (2,03), respectivamente. Hubo 96 muertes durante el seguimiento. La definición de “paciente exacerbador frecuente” que presentó el mayor valor predictivo para la mortalidad incluía la aparición de al menos dos exacerbaciones/año o un ingreso hospitalario/año (23.3% de los pacientes; AUC-ROC:0.75 [IC 95%: 0.69–0.81]). Su valor predictivo fue independiente de la gravedad inicial del paciente. Las características clínicas del “paciente exacerbador frecuente”, de acuerdo con esta definición, variaron según la gravedad inicial de la bronquiectasia, la presencia de inflamación sistémica y el tratamiento.

Conclusiones: La combinación de dos exacerbaciones o un ingreso hospitalario al año constituye la mejor definición de “paciente exacerbador frecuente” con mayor valor predictivo para la mortalidad, independientemente de la gravedad inicial de las bronquiectasias.

© 2018 SEPAR. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.

Introduction

Bronchiectasis is a very heterogeneous disease as regards both its clinical presentation and its prognosis and treatment. This has led some authors to suggest the existence of various phenotypes based on patients' clinical characteristics.^{1–4}

Exacerbations have been shown to have a negative impact on the natural history of bronchiectasis patients.^{5–10} Thus, both the number and, more particularly, the severity of exacerbations have been associated with more serious forms of the disease,^{11–15} lower quality of life,^{16,17} chronic bronchial infection by potentially pathogenic microorganisms,¹⁸ rapid decline in pulmonary function,¹⁹ greater systemic inflammation,²⁰ increased costs,^{21–23} and premature death.^{11–14,24,25} This situation has prompted many of the published and validated multidimensional scores that quantify the severity of bronchiectasis to include exacerbations among their variables and even assign them a high predictive power.^{11,15} However, these scores are mostly comprised of variables recorded close to the date of the diagnosis of bronchiectasis and so, despite their high predictive power, they do not cover circumstances that arise over the course of the patient's follow-up.

Those patients with bronchiectasis and multiple exacerbations could constitute a special group of patients with their own clinical, prognostic, and therapeutic characteristics known as “frequent exacerbator patients” or “exacerbator clinical phenotype”,²⁵ and it has already been observed and recognized in other airway diseases such as COPD.²⁶ Various definitions of the “frequent exacerbator patient” in bronchiectasis can be found in the literature, based on the combination of the annual rate of exacerbations and hospitalizations. However, there is very little literature on this group of bronchiectasis patients with multiple exacerbations, since only one very recent study has adequately assessed its characteristics and prognostic value.²⁵

The objective of this study was to analyze the relationship between the presence of multiple exacerbations/hospitalizations and mortality and to identify the definition of the “frequent exacerbator patient” in bronchiectasis that offers the best prognostic value for mortality, as well as its relationship with the initial

severity of the bronchiectasis (as measured by the various published multidimensional scores).

Material and Methods

Design

Observational, multi-center study of a large historical cohort involving six teaching centers in Latin America, all with multidisciplinary, protocolized specialist bronchiectasis outpatient clinics.

Patients

This study drew on the Latin American bronchiectasis database, which covers six series from six Latin American hospitals (four in Brazil, one in Argentina and one in Chile), with a total of 651 bronchiectasis patients who were the subjects for the external validation of the FACED¹⁴ and E-FACED¹⁵ scores. All the patients were diagnosed as having clinically active non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis at a primary diagnosis using high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT),²⁷ and they presented a wide range of severity, etiologies, clinical pictures and functional impairments. Patients aged under 18 years were excluded, along with those whose vital state was unknown at the end of the follow-up. This study was approved by the Ethics and Research Committee of each participating center.

Methods

Apart from the patients' baseline characteristics at the time of their diagnosis, this cohort offers longitudinal data collected during the subsequent 5 years of follow-up, related to the number of annual exacerbations and hospitalizations, the administration of chronic treatments, and microbiological findings (isolation of potentially pathogenic microorganisms). The exacerbations were recorded in the hospital databases. Exacerbation was defined as a worsening of the respiratory symptoms, concomitant with an increase in the volume or purulence of sputum that requires antibiotic treatment.

Description of the Multidimensional Grading Systems

The FACED score consists of five dichotomic variables: FEV1, age, presence of chronic infection by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, dyspnea scale (mMRC), and number of pulmonary lobes affected on computed tomography.²⁸ E-FACED adds the number of hospitalizations due to an exacerbation in the previous year (E).¹⁵ The possible range of points is 0–7 (FACED) and 0–9 (E-FACED). The division of the FACED/E-FACED scores into three groups makes it possible to define bronchiectasis as mild (0–2 points [FACED]/0–3 points [E-FACED]), moderate (3–4 points [FACED]/4–6 points [E-FACED]), and severe (5–7 points [FACED]/7–9 points [E-FACED]).

Bronchiectasis severity index (BSI)¹¹ consists in nine variables: those included in E-FACED score plus body mass index, chronic infection by other pathogenic microorganisms different from *P. aeruginosa*, and number of exacerbations with a range of 0–26 points. An overall score is derived as a sum of the scores for each variable and it may range from 0 to 26 points. According to the overall score value, the patients with bronchiectasis are classified into three BSI classes: patients with low BSI score (overall score 0–4 points), patients with intermediate BSI score (overall score 5–8 points), and patients with high BSI score (overall score 9 or more points).

Follow-up

The patients were followed up for 5 years after the radiological diagnosis of bronchiectasis. After these 5 years, their vital state was determined. The number of deaths, and their causes, was established via the hospitals' computerized records or the corresponding death certificates.

Main Outcome

The main outcome was the prognostic capacity for all-cause mortality of the best definition of frequent exacerbator patient, based on the mean annual frequency and severity of exacerbations.

Statistical Analysis

The data were tabulated as mean/median or standard deviation/interquartile range in the case of quantitative variables. Qualitative variables were tabulated as absolute value and percentage of the total. Although a risk period to assess the risk factor was not established prior to measuring the outcome, a sample size calculation was performed to demonstrate that the inclusion in the study of 651 patients with a mean annual frequency of exacerbations (including hospitalizations due to bronchiectasis exacerbations) of 1.25 per year and 5 years follow-up were appropriate to perform all the analyses of this study with an adequate statistical power (an α error of 5%, and a statistical power of 80%).

AUC-ROC curves (95% CI) were used to identify the prognostic value of the presence of different numbers of exacerbations (≥ 1 , ≥ 2 , ≥ 3 per year) and/or hospitalizations (≥ 1 , ≥ 2 , ≥ 3 per year), as well as the prognostic value of the best combination of the number of exacerbations and/or hospitalizations. Those definitions with an AUC-ROC > 0.70 would then be selected for further analysis. Bivariate analyses were undertaken using the Student's *t*-test, Mann-Whitney *U*-test, or Chi-square test, depending on the distribution of the variables. The Kaplan-Meier curve was used to compare the two groups (frequent exacerbator versus non-frequent exacerbator patients).

In order to determine the relationship between the presence of a frequent exacerbator phenotype (definitions with an AUC-ROC > 0.70) and the initial severity of bronchiectasis, and mortality from any cause at 5 years, both variables (severity scores and

Table 1

Baseline Characteristics of the Included Patients (n = 651).

Variables	Cohort
Age, years	48.2 (16)
Gender, % men	32.9%
Body-mass index, kg/m ²	22.4 (11.5)
Dyspnea (mMRC)	1.52 (1.05)
Smoking (packs/year)	4.81 (12.8)
Appearance of sputum, n (%)	
Mucous	177 (27.2%)
Mucopurulent	229 (35.2%)
Purulent	124 (19%)
Respiratory failure, n (%)	110 (16.9%)
Number of affected lobes	3.37 (1.5)
Etiology, n (%)	
Post-infective (including post-tuberculosis)	262 (40.3%)
Idiopathic	204 (31.3%)
Primary ciliary dyskinesia	59 (9%)
Airway disease (COPD and asthma)	33 (5.1%)
Rheumatologic disease	28 (4.3%)
Other causes	65 (10%)
FEV ₁ , % predicted	54.7 (22.1)
FVC, % predicted	67.2 (20.3)
Chronic infection, n (%)	
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	259 (39.8%)
Haemophilus influenzae	67 (10.3%)
Multiresistant microorganism	40 (6.14%)
Isolation of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> , n (%)	49 (7.5%)
Isolation of fungi, n (%)	39 (6%)
Isolation of NTM, n (%)	8 (1.3%)
Exacerbations (previous year) [*]	1.12 (1.4)
Hospitalizations (previous year)	0.4 (0.8)
Exacerbations/year (follow-up) [*]	0.95 (0.9)
Hospitalization/year (follow-up)	0.3 (0.5)
Chronic treatment, n (%)	
Systemic antibiotics	46 (7.2%)
Inhaled antibiotics	198 (30.5%)
Macrolides	113 (17.3%)
Oral corticoids	25 (3.8%)
Death, n (%)	95 (14.6%)

* The number of hospitalizations (severe exacerbations) is not included.

mMRC, Modified Medical Research Council; NTM, non-tuberculous mycobacterium. Baseline data except for the mean number of exacerbations and hospitalization during the follow-up.

presence of frequent exacerbator definition as a dichotomic variable) were introduced into different Cox multivariate survival models with mortality from any cause at 5 years of follow-up as the dependent cause. The strength of the association was measured via the HR (95% CI). Bonferroni test was used to correct the *p*-values for multiple comparisons.

Results

Six hundred and fifty-one patients were included, taken from six Latin American hospitals. Data were not obtained from 21 patients (3.1%), mainly because of lack of information on their vital status at the end of the study. The mean age was 48.2 (16) years, 32.9% were men, and 39.8% presented chronic colonization by *P. aeruginosa*. The mean BSI, FACED, E-FACED scores were 7 (4.12), 2.36 (1.68), and 2.89 (2.03) respectively. The mean FEV1 was 54.7% (22.1). There were 95 (14.6%) deaths during the 5 years of the follow-up. The main cause of death was related to respiratory complications (66%), cardiovascular disease (18%), and cancer (10%). The mean number of exacerbations per year was 0.95 (0.9) (not including hospitalizations), while the number of hospitalizations per year was 0.4 (0.5) (Table 1).

AUC-ROC (95% CI) of 12 different potential definitions of frequent exacerbator patient, based on the combination of different numbers of exacerbations and hospitalizations are showed in Table 2. The definition with the best prognostic value of 5-year all-cause mortality was "at least two exacerbations or one hospitalization/year" (23.2% of patients), which was the only one that

Table 2
Prognostic Value of 5-year All-cause Mortality (AUC-ROC) of 12 Different Potential Definitions of “Frequent Exacerbator Patient” in Bronchiectasis.

Definition of frequent exacerbator phenotype	No. of patients, %	No. of deaths	AUC-ROC (95%CI)	P
≥1 exacerbation/year	249 (38.2%)	57	0.63 (0.57–0.69)	.0001
≥2 exacerbations/year	118 (18.1%)	37	0.62 (0.56–0.69)	.0001
≥3 exacerbations/year	23 (3.5%)	6	0.52 (0.45–0.58)	.0001
≥1 hospitalization/year	91 (14%)	43	0.68 (0.62–0.75)	.001
≥2 hospitalizations/year	7 (1.1%)	5	0.53 (0.46–0.59)	.4
≥3 hospitalizations/year	2 (0.3%)	2	0.51 (0.45–0.57)	.7
≥1 exacerbation/year and ≥1 hospitalization/year	80 (12.3%)	34	0.64 (0.57–0.71)	.0001
≥1 exacerbation/year or ≥1 hospitalization/year	260 (39.9%)	66	0.67 (0.61–0.73)	.0001
≥2 exacerbations/year and ≥1 hospitalization/year	59 (9.1%)	26	0.61 (0.54–0.68)	.0001
≥2 exacerbations/year or ≥1 hospitalization/year	151 (23.2%)	55	0.75 (0.69–0.81)	.0001
≥3 exacerbations/year and ≥1 hospitalization/year	13 (2%)	6	0.53 (0.46–0.59)	.0001
≥3 exacerbations/year or ≥1 hospitalization/year	90 (13.8%)	34	0.63 (0.56–0.70)	.0001

Table 3
Comparison of the Characteristics of Patients Who Satisfied the Definition of the Chosen Frequent Exacerbator Patient and Those of the Other Patients With Bronchiectasis.

	Frequent exacerbator group (23.3%)	Non-frequent exacerbator group (76.7%)	P
Age, years	48.2 (16.5)	48.1 (15.9)	.98
Gender (%males)	36	32	.35
COPD n, %	6	3	.095
Asthma n, %	13.8	8.8	.0072
BMI (kg/m ²)	21.5 (7.1)	22.6 (12.6)	.33
Dyspnea (mMRC)	2.2 (1)	1.32 (0.9)	<.0001
Sputum purulence n, %	71	52	<.0001
Lobules affected n, %	3.9 (1.3)	3.2 (1.5)	<.0001
Inhaled steroids n, %	23	25	.54
Inhaled antibiotics n, %	39	28	.006
Macrolides n, %	27	11	.008
CRP concentration mg/dl	32.5 (28.1)	20.1 (28.2)	.007
PA colonization n, %	61	33	<.0001
FEV1 (% predicted)	42.7 (18.6)	58.4 (21.7)	<.0001
FACED score	3.58 (1.4)	1.98 (1.56)	<.0001
EFACED score	4.95 (1.8)	2.26 (1.63)	<.0001
BSI	11.02 (3.9)	5.78 (3.32)	<.0001
MPP colonization other than PA n, %	33.6	22	<.0001

COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; BMI, body mass index; MRC, Medical Research Council; CRP, C-reactive protein; PA, *P. aeruginosa*; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in the first second; BSI, bronchiectasis severity index; PPM, potentially pathogenic microorganisms.

exceeded an AUC > 0.70 (AUC-ROC: 0.75 [0.69–0.81], Fig. 1). 27% of patients fulfilled this criterion taking into account only the number/severity of exacerbations in the year prior to the inclusion in the study. Fig. 2 shows the Kaplan–Meier curve using this selected definition of the frequent exacerbator patient.




Figure 1. Receiver operating characteristic curve and area under the curve (AUC) to determine the overall predictive value of all-cause mortality after 5 years of follow-up of the chosen definition of “frequent exacerbator patient” (at least two exacerbations per year or at least one hospitalization per year). AUC-ROC: area under curve-ROC.

Those patients who presented annual multiple exacerbations in keeping with the agreed definition presented a greater initial severity, whichever multidimensional score was used, as well as more systemic inflammation and a greater use of antibiotic and anti-inflammatory therapies. No differences were observed, however, in age, gender, or respiratory comorbidities, although there was a statistically non-significant tendency for patients to present COPD or asthma as a secondary diagnosis (Table 3).




Figure 2. Kaplan–Meier curve using the selected definition for a frequent exacerbator patient. Log-rank test (Chi-square 98.894; $P < .001$).

Table 4
Cox Regressions Using Different Combinations of Multidimensional Severity Scores as Independent Variables to Evaluate the Initial Severity of Bronchiectasis, and the Selected Definition of Frequent Exacerbator Patient.

	HR (95% CI)
BSI	1.7 (1.5-1.9)
Frequent exacerbation patient	2.3 (1.4-3.9)
FACED	1.7 (1.5-2)
Frequent exacerbation patient	3.1 (1.9-4.7)
E-FACED	1.6 (1.4-1.8)
Frequent exacerbation patient	1.8 (1.1-3)

At least two exacerbations per year of follow-up, or at least one hospitalization per year of follow-up (23.3%). The exacerbation variables included in the BSI and E-FACED refer only to those that occurred in the year previous to the radiological diagnosis of bronchiectasis, so these exacerbations are not included in the definition of "exacerbator patient". The FACED score does not include any variable referring to exacerbations.

Selected definitions of frequent exacerbator patients were introduced as an independent variables in three multivariate Cox analyses, where combined with each of the three published multidimensional scores with external validation (BSI, FACED, and E-FACED) (Table 4). It can be seen that regardless of the score used to evaluate the initial severity of bronchiectasis, the presence of at least two exacerbations per year or one hospitalization per year as a consequence of bronchiectasis is independently related to a higher all-cause mortality.

There was a significant correlation between the number of exacerbations during the year prior to inclusion in the study (as a part of the E-FACED and BSI scores), and the number of exacerbations per year during the follow-up ($r=0.3$; $p=0.001$ for exacerbations, and $r=0.35$, $p=0.001$ for hospitalizations). Although this correlation was only weak and should be not considered a clear collinearity, we repeated all the analyses after removing the number of exacerbations in the previous year from the BSI and E-FACED scores and the results did not change.

The percentage of patients with the frequent exacerbator phenotype and associated mortality according to the severity of the bronchiectasis (mild, moderate, or severe), as evaluated by the different multidimensional scores is showed in Table 5. The presence of frequent exacerbations, in the terms of the proposed

Table 5
Distribution of Patients With Frequent Exacerbation, Using the Selected Definition, and Associated Mortality According to the Severity (Mild, Moderate or Severe) Evaluated by the BSI, FACED, and E-FACED Scores.

Initial severity (n=651)	N, % with frequent exacerbator phenotype 152 (23.3%)	N, % mortality at 5 years of follow-up 95 (14.6%)
BSI*		
Mild (n = 196; 30.1%)	9 (4.6%)	4 (2%)
Moderate (n = 223; 34.3%)	29 (13%)	24 (10.8%)
Severe (n = 195; 30%)	105 (53.8%)	64 (32.8%)
FACED		
Mild (n = 348; 53.5%)	29 (8.3%)	13 (3.7%)
Moderate (n = 233; 35.8%)	82 (35.2%)	48 (20.6%)
Severe (n = 70; 10.8%)	41 (58.6%)	34 (48.6%)
E-FACED		
Mild (n = 406; 62.4%)	26 (6.4%)	16 (3.9%)
Moderate (n = 207; 31.8%)	97 (46.9%)	55 (26.6%)
Severe (n = 38; 5.8%)	29 (73.3%)	24 (63.2%)

* The calculation of the BSI was not possible due to the lack of some data in 37 patients.

definition, presents a prognostic capacity for mortality, regardless of the patient's initial severity in each of the subgroups (mild, moderate, or severe) of the BSI, FACED, and E-FACED, with similar behavior in these three multidimensional scores (Fig. 3).

Discussion

According to our results, the presence of frequent exacerbations has a prognostic value for overall mortality after 5 years of follow-up that is independent of the initial severity of the bronchiectasis. This prognostic capacity is similarly independent of the multidimensional score system used to evaluate the initial disease severity.

One of the most important, but possibly least investigated, aspects of bronchiectasis are its exacerbations, as these have been shown to influence patients' prognosis, especially in the more severe forms of the disease, independent of any other variables.^{11-20,25} Accordingly, several published and validated scores of severity have chosen to incorporate exacerbations as a variable. Chalmers et al. observed that both the number and severity of the exacerbations experienced in the year prior to the




Figure 3. Prognostic capacity for mortality of the presence of a frequent exacerbator patient according to the initial severity of the patient's bronchiectasis (mild, moderate, or severe), as measured by the BSI, FACED, and E-FACED scores.

diagnosis were an independent risk factor for various major parameters, such as quality of life, mortality, and some biomarkers.¹¹ Furthermore, some of the scores of severity have demonstrated a predictive capacity for those patients with a greater number and severity of exacerbations.¹⁵ This has led some authors to suggest the possible presence of a special group of patients with multiple exacerbations that would comprise the frequent exacerbator phenotype.^{2,4,24,25} In this sense, Chalmers et al. very recently assessed, in the sole existing study on “the frequent exacerbator phenotype” in bronchiectasis, the repeatability of exacerbation status and the independent impact of exacerbation history on hospitalizations, quality of life, and mortality. They concluded that this clinical phenotype has been consistent over time, with a higher mortality risk, and that the best predictor of future exacerbations is previous exacerbations.²⁵

Multidimensional score systems in bronchiectasis, despite demonstrating an excellent predictive capacity for short- and long-term mortality,^{11–15,28,29} were constructed with variables recorded close to the diagnosis of bronchiectasis and they therefore fail to take into account the number or severity of the exacerbations that occur during the follow-up period. This suggests that, as it is possible that patients who initially present a more severe form of the disease may go on to present a greater number or severity of exacerbations, a high annual incidence of exacerbations over a prolonged period of time could provide additional prognostic information not supplied by the scores of severity.

This study has produced various interesting findings. On the one hand, its conclusions confirm the findings of the Chalmers’ study on the particular relevance of patients with bronchiectasis and multiple exacerbations, even in a very different geographical setting. Moreover, we found that this prognostic value is independent of the initial severity of bronchiectasis, so both variables have an additional prognostic value of all-cause mortality after 5 years of follow-up. Of all the different possible definitions of the “frequent exacerbator patient” analyzed, the one corresponding to the presence of at least two exacerbations per year or at least one hospitalization per year presented, according to our results, the best prognostic capacity of mortality, regardless of the patient’s initial severity. It should be stressed that almost all the definitions that included severe exacerbations (hospitalizations) generally showed the greatest predictive power.^{11,15,25} This result is hardly surprising as several studies have shown that severe exacerbations (hospitalizations) have the most impact on various parameters related to a poor prognosis in bronchiectasis patients, such as a sharper decline in pulmonary function and greater mortality.^{11–19}

On the other hand, those patients described as “exacerbators” over the course of their disease present a different initial clinical picture, a greater initial severity of bronchiectasis, a different microbiological pattern in the follow-up (more microbiological isolates in the stable phase), and a different treatment (a greater amount of inhaled antibiotics and macrolides). Finally, they presented 1.8–3.1 times greater probability of death in the follow-up, regardless of the initial severity of the disease.

Our study does have a number of limitations. On the one hand, an exacerbation was defined as a worsening of respiratory symptoms concomitant with the presence of bronchiectasis that required antibiotic treatment. Although this definition made the researchers’ data collection more objective, there is a possible selection bias in favor of more severe forms of the disease. A consensus definition of exacerbation in bronchiectasis for clinical research was recently reached, unfortunately after the beginning of this study.³⁰ In the present study, we have used the exacerbations arising after the radiological diagnosis of bronchiectasis, rather than those arising beforehand, for our data analysis. This was because it was at the point of their diagnosis that the patients started to be monitored in specialist bronchiectasis units, and

so the register of exacerbations over time became much more reliable.

On the other hand, the exacerbation rate observed in the Latin American series is lower than that in other countries as well as the etiologies of bronchiectasis are different (probably in Latin America the percentage of post-tuberculous bronchiectasis is higher than that seen in Europe), and so an external validation of our results is required in other countries with higher rates and patients with different characteristics especially related to different etiologies and age. The lower rate observed in our study could have various causes such as reduced access to medical care in Latin America and a more limited perception of exacerbations by patients, who only consult a doctor when their clinical situation is severe. Factors such as these lead to great geographical heterogeneity with respect to the annual rate of exacerbations reported in different parts of the world. For example, one study of seven European cohorts of bronchiectasis patients from different countries found a rate of one exacerbation/year in Serbia (similar to the rate observed in our Latin American series) but up to 3.4 exacerbations/year in Newcastle-upon-Tyne, UK.¹² Finally, we had no information about the stability of the yearly exacerbation rate during follow-up, however some authors have recently reported that exacerbation frequency showed relative stability over time in bronchiectasis patients with multiple exacerbations.²⁵ It would therefore be interesting to conduct further studies in order to ascertain the prognostic capacity of the presence of multiple exacerbations over shorter time periods.

In conclusion, our results show that a certain percentage of bronchiectasis patients (called “frequent exacerbator patients”) are characterized by a high annual rate of exacerbations, with their own clinical characteristics and a poorer prognosis. Moreover, this prognostic value is independent of the initial severity of bronchiectasis, and the combination of at least two exacerbations per year or one hospitalization per year seems to have the best predictive value of mortality in our series. It is important to identify bronchiectasis patients with multiple exacerbations as they could benefit from a more personalized treatment and more specific research studies. In any case, more wide-ranging studies are needed for the external validation of our results in countries with different annual exacerbation rates, as well as other studies investigating whether the presence of multiple exacerbations is associated with an endotype that would explain this high frequency of annual exacerbations in these patients, despite the treatment that they receive.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arbres.2018.07.002>.

References

- King PT, Holdsworth SR, Farmer M, Freezer N, Villanueva E, Holmes PW. Phenotypes of adult bronchiectasis: onset of productive cough in childhood and adulthood. *COPD*. 2009;6:130–6.
- Martínez-García MÁ, Vendrell M, Girón R, Maíz L, de la Rosa D, de Gracia J, et al. The multiple faces of non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis: a cluster analysis approach. *Ann Am Thorac Soc*. 2016;13:1468–75.
- Aliberti S, Lonni S, Dore S, McDonnell MJ, Goeminne PC, Dimakou K, et al. Clinical phenotypes in adult patients with bronchiectasis. *Eur Respir J*. 2016;47:1113–22.
- Chalmers JD. Bronchiectasis: phenotyping a complex disease. *COPD*. 2017;14 Suppl. 1:S12–8.
- Wong C, Jayaram L, Karalus N, Eaton T, Tong C, Hockey H, et al. Azithromycin for prevention of exacerbations in non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis (EMBRACE): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2012;380:660–7.

6. Serisier DJ, Martin ML, McGuckin MA, Lourie R, Chen AC, Brain B, et al. Effect of long-term, low-dose erythromycin on pulmonary exacerbations among patients with non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis: the BLESS randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2013;309:1260–7.
7. Altenburg J, de Graaff CS, Stienstra Y, Sloos JH, van Haren EH, Koppers RJ, et al. Effect of azithromycin maintenance treatment on infectious exacerbations among patients with non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis: the BAT randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2013;309:1251–9.
8. Haworth CS, Foweraker JE, Wilkinson P, Kenyon RF, Bilton D. Inhaled colistin in patients with bronchiectasis and chronic *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2014;189:975–82.
9. Barker AF, O'Donnell AE, Flume P, Thompson PJ, Ruzi JD, de Gracia J, et al. Aztreonam for inhalation solution in patients with non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis (AIR-BX1 and AIR-BX2): two randomised double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 trials. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2014;2:738–49.
10. Aksamit T, De Soya A, Bandel TJ, Criollo M, Elborn JS, Operschall E, et al. RESPIRE 2 a phase III placebo-controlled randomised trial of ciprofloxacin dry powder for inhalation in non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis. *Eur Resp J*. 2018;51, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/13993003.02053-2017>, 1702053.
11. Chalmers JD, Goeminne P, Aliberti S, McDonnell MJ, Lonni S, Davidson J, et al. The bronchiectasis severity index: an international derivation and validation study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2014;189:576–85.
12. McDonnell MJ, Aliberti S, Goeminne PC, Dimakou K, Zucchetti SC, Davidson J, et al. Multidimensional severity assessment in bronchiectasis: an analysis of seven European cohorts. *Thorax*. 2016;71:1110–8.
13. Rosales-Mayor E, Polverino E, Raguer L, Alcaraz V, Gabarrus A, Ranzani O, et al. Comparison of two prognostic scores (BSI and FACED) in a Spanish cohort of adult patients with bronchiectasis and improvement of the FACED predictive capacity for exacerbations. *PLoS ONE*. 2017;12:e0175171.
14. Athanazio R, Pereira MC, Gramblicka G, Cavalcanti-Lundgren F, de Figueiredo MF, Arancibia F, et al. Latin America validation of FACED score in patients with bronchiectasis: an analysis of six cohorts. *BMC Pulm Med*. 2017;17:73.
15. Martínez-García MA, Athanazio RA, Girón R, Máiz-Carro L, de la Rosa D, Oliveira C, et al. Predicting high risk of exacerbations in bronchiectasis: the E-FACED score. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. 2017;12:275–84.
16. Martínez-García MA, Perpiñá-Tordera M, Román-Sánchez P, Soler-Cataluña JJ. Quality-of-life determinants in patients with clinically stable bronchiectasis. *Chest*. 2005;128:739–45.
17. Brill SE, Patel AR, Singh R, Mackay AJ, Brown JS, Hurst JR. Lung function, symptoms and inflammation during exacerbations of non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis: a prospective observational cohort study. *Respir Res*. 2015;16:16.
18. Finch S, McDonnell MJ, Abo-Leyah H, Aliberti S, Chalmers J. A comprehensive analysis of the Impact of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* colonization on prognosis in adult bronchiectasis. *Ann Am Thorac Soc*. 2015;12:1602–11.
19. Martínez-García MA, Soler-Cataluña JJ, Perpiñá-Tordera M, Román-Sánchez P, Soriano J. Factors associated with lung function decline in adult patients with stable non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis. *Chest*. 2007;132:1565–72.
20. Chalmers JD, Moffitt KL, Suarez-Cuartin G, Sibila O, Finch S, Furrie E, et al. Neutrophil elastase activity is associated with exacerbations and lung function decline in bronchiectasis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2017;195:1384–93.
21. De la Rosa D, Martínez-García MA, Oliveira C, Girón R, Maiz L, Prados C. Annual direct medical costs of bronchiectasis treatment: Impact of severity, exacerbations, chronic bronchial infection and COPD coexistence. *Chron Respir Dis*. 2016;12, 1479972316643698 [Epub ahead of print].
22. Seitz AE, Olivier KN, Steiner CA, Montes de Oca R, Holland SM, Prevots DR. Trends and burden of bronchiectasis-associated hospitalizations in the United States, 1993–2006. *Chest*. 2010;138:944–9.
23. Ringshausen FC, de Roux A, Pletz MW, Hämäläinen N, Welte T, Rademacher J. Bronchiectasis-associated hospitalizations in Germany, 2005–2011: a population-based study of disease burden and trends. *PLoS ONE*. 2013;8:e71109.
24. Roberts HJ, Hubbard R. Trends in bronchiectasis mortality in England and Wales. *Resp Med*. 2010;104:981–5.
25. Chalmers JD, Aliberti S, Filonenko A, Shteinberg M, Goeminne PC, Hill AT, et al. Characterization of the “frequent exacerbation phenotype” in bronchiectasis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2018;197:1410–20, 10.1164/rccm.201711-2202OC.
26. Global Strategy for the diagnosis, management and prevention of chronic obstructive lung disease. Report 2017. Available from: <https://www.goldcopd.org/gold-2017-global-strategy-diagnosis-management-prevention-copd/> (accessed 21-9-17).
27. Naidich DP, McCauley DI, Khouri NF, Stitik FP, Siegelmen SS. Computed tomography of bronchiectasis. *J Comput Assist Tomogr*. 1982;6:437–44.
28. Martínez-García MA, de Gracia J, Vendrell M, Girón RM, Máiz Carro L, de la Rosa Carrillo D, Oliveira C, et al. Multidimensional approach to non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis: the FACED score. *Eur Resp J*. 2014;43:1357–67.
29. Ellis HC, Cowman S, Fernandes M, Wilson R, Loebinger MR. Predicting mortality in bronchiectasis using bronchiectasis severity index and FACED scores: a 19-year cohort study. *Eur Resp J*. 2016;47:482–9.
30. Hill AT, Haworth CS, Aliberti S, Barker A, Blasi F, Boersma W, et al., EMBARC/BRR definitions working group. EMBARC/BRR definitions working group. Pulmonary exacerbation in adults with bronchiectasis: a consensus definition for clinical research. *Eur Resp J*. 2017;49. Epub ahead of print.