Feasibility of Home-based Functional Status Assessment of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Patients Recovering from an Exacerbation
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A B S T R A C T

Introduction: The Glittre Activities of Daily Living Test (ADL-Test) is a reliable functional status measure for stable Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) patients in a laboratory setting. We aimed to adapt the test to the home setting (mADL-Test) and to follow-up the functional status recovery of post-exacerbation COPD patients included in a home hospitalization (HH) program.

Method: We assessed 17 exacerbated moderate-to-severe COPD patients in 3 home visits: at discharge to HH (V0), 10 days (V10post) and 1 month after discharge (V10post). Patients completed the mADL-Test (laps, VO2 and VE), COPD assessment test (CAT), London Chest ADL Test (LCADL), modified Medical Research Council (mMRC) and upper limb strength (handgrip).

Results: The number of laps of the mADL-Test (4, 5 and 5, P<.05), CAT (19, 12 and 12, P<.01), mMRC (2, 1.5 and 1, P<.01) and the self-care domain of the LCADL (6, 5 and 5, P<.01) improved during follow-up (V0, V10post and V10post, respectively). No significant changes were evidenced in VO2, VE or handgrip.

Conclusion: Our results suggest that the mADL-Test can be performed in the home setting after a COPD exacerbation, and that functional status continues to improve 10 days after HH discharge.

© 2016 SEPAR. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

Viabilidad de la Evaluación Domiciliaria Del Estado Funcional de Pacientes Con Enfermedad Pulmonar Obstructiva Crónica en Fase de Recuperación de Una Exacerbación

R E S U M E N

Introducción: La prueba de actividades de la vida diaria de Glittre (prueba ADL) es, en un entorno de laboratorio, una medida fiable del estado funcional de los pacientes con enfermedad pulmonar obstructiva crónica (EPOC) estable. Nos propusimos adaptar la prueba para poder llevarla a cabo en el entorno domiciliario (Test ADLm) y supervisar la recuperación del estado funcional de pacientes con EPOC después de una exacerbación atendida en hospitalización domiciliaria (HD).
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Introduction

Functional status refers to the ability of patients to cope with their Activities of Daily Living (ADL). Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) affects the capacity of patients to perform their ADL. Moreover, a poor functional status is a risk factor for exacerbations. After an exacerbation, functional status may not return to the previous level, and this can cause patients to enter a negative cycle where the more exacerbations they suffer, the worse their functional status becomes. The result is an eventual increase in mortality and health care burden. Despite their importance, recovery patterns of functional status after a COPD exacerbation have been poorly studied.

Home-based programs, such as home hospitalization (HH), are successful care services for COPD patients. However, functional capacity assessment outside the hospital or laboratory setting has been rarely studied. The home setting is unsuitable for most of the standard exercise field tests, such as the Six Minute Walking Test (6MWT); however, some performance tests for small settings have been suggested in recent years. Puhani et al. found that the results of the sit-to-stand test are associated with mortality in stable COPD patients. Jones et al. found the five-repetition sit-to-stand test to be a practical functional measurement, even at the bedside. And the Chester step-test may also be a suitable method. Even so, those tests could underestimate the daily functional limitations of patients, because they rely mostly on the use of lower limbs, whereas most of the common ADLs combine both extremities. The ideal test would be one in which the patients have to reproduce the most common ADLs in their own environment.

The Glittré ADL-Test (ADL-Test) was specifically developed for valid and reliable functional status assessment of COPD patients in terms of both performance and capacity. It reproduces the 5 most common ADLs in a 10-m-long corridor, and requires the use of both extremities. In stable COPD patients, the ADL-Test induces a sub-maximal steady-state physiological response, and discriminates the functional capacity of COPD patients from healthy people, and it is also reproducible and responsive to pulmonary rehabilitation. However, the ADL-Test has not been tested in COPD patients recovering from an exacerbation or in the home setting.

Our research group had already tested the ADL-Test in stable COPD patients in a hospital setting. In this study, we first aimed to determine whether it was also suitable for the home setting, and then attempted to follow-up ADL-Test performance during the early recovery phase of a COPD exacerbation. We achieved these objectives by studying post-exacerbation COPD patients included in an HH program.

Methods

We conducted a prospective observational feasibility study. Subjects were consecutively recruited in the HH unit of the Hospital Clinic in Barcelona (Spain) between March and June 2011. The study protocol was approved by the independent Hospital’s Ethics Committee, and all participating patients signed the consent form.

Population

During the study period, all COPD patients admitted to the HH program due to an exacerbation were invited to take part in the study. We were not able to calculate a sample size due to the exploratory nature of the research.

No changes were made to the existing HH care protocol. Briefly, patients were admitted to the HH program if they did not meet criteria for imperative hospitalization (such as need for mechanical ventilation) or had been admitted to the hospital for less than 48 hours. HH exclusion criteria included: not domiciled in the healthcare area or admitted from a nursing home; lung cancer and other advanced neoplasms; extremely poor social conditions; severe neurological or cardiac comorbidities; and no phone at home. During HH, patients were visited daily by a skilled respiratory nurse. Standard pharmacological treatment was given, following national guidelines in force at the time of the study, and the comprehensive therapeutic approach was adapted to the needs of each patient. Discharge visit was scheduled and carried out by both the nurse and the medical staff.

Specific inclusion criteria for this study were: (1) COPD diagnosis following GOLD criteria and (2) COPD exacerbation as the sole admission diagnosis. We excluded patients with muscular, skeletal, cardiac or cognitive conditions that could impede performance of the ADL-Test or compromise the safety of the test.

Protocol

Patients were assessed by a respiratory physiotherapist during 3 home visits: at the time of discharge to HH (V0), 10 days post-discharge (V10post) and 1 month post-discharge (V30post). To ensure the well-being of the patients, V0 measurements were obtained over 2 consecutive days. The day before the planned discharge, we performed the clinical assessment (questionnaires). We explained the test, and the patients were invited to simulate 1 lap of the test to minimize the learning effect. On the day of discharge, patients performed the functional status assessment under supervision of the medical staff, to ensure their safety. The following V10post and V30post visits were carried out fully in one day each one.

Functional Status Assessment

Functional status was assessed using a modified version of the original Glittré ADL-Test (mADL-Test) (Fig. 1). We introduced 2 changes: first, the original outcome goal of 5 laps was replaced...
by a self-paced time-limited test, so for this study the main outcome variable was the number of laps that the patient could cover in 6 min on the first, the second and the third visits. Secondly, the original 2-step staircase was replaced by 2 steps placed separately, one in front of the other. This improved the portability of the equipment without affecting the work-load of the original test (i.e., the new steps had the same height and width as the original ones).

Patients were shown how to perform the mADL-Test correctly and safely: subjects had to complete the mADL-Test laps as fast as they could in 6 min, they could stop if they were in pain, too exhausted, or for any other reason. If the research team detected any alarm signs, the test would be stopped.

All patients were connected through a face mask to a portable gas analyzer (Fitmate, Cosmed; Rome, Italy) to assess whether their physiological response during the test was similar to the original test. All patients had to complete the tests without supplementary oxygen, or if they were already receiving oxygen therapy, after 20 min of wash-out. Oxygen uptake (VO\textsubscript{2}) and ventilation (VE) were measured breath by breath. The equipment was calibrated before each assessment and carried by the patient inside the same backpack used for the test. However, the final weight was adjusted according to the device (1.5 kg) and the gender of the patient, as stated in the original test. Finally, heart rate and oxygen saturation were continuously monitored with a hand-held pulse oximeter (3Xi Konica Minolta; Osaka, Japan).

Clinical Assessment

On each assessment visit the following patient-reported outcomes were obtained, always in the same order: (1) dyspnea level: modified Medical Research Council dyspnea scale\textsuperscript{15} (mMRC), (2) dyspnea related to ADL: London Chest Activities of Daily Living questionnaire\textsuperscript{22} (LCADL), (3) health status: COPD Assessment Test\textsuperscript{23} (CAT) and (4) physical activity: modified Baekke questionnaire for older people\textsuperscript{24} (mBaek) administered only on VO\textsubscript{2} and VE\textsubscript{30post} visits.

In addition, the patients performed a handgrip dynamometry\textsuperscript{10} to explore upper limb muscle strength. The test was performed first with the dominant hand, and the best measurement (1 out of 3, for each hand) was used for statistical analysis.

Secondary variables such as socio-demographical and other clinical data were obtained from the clinical history.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using PASW (SPSS Inc. Released 2009. PASW Statistics for Windows, Version 18.0, Chicago, IL, USA). Initially, the normality of the data was assessed through the Shapiro–Wilks test. Due to small sample size, non-parametric tests were used. Wilcoxon signed-rank tests were used for comparisons between visits. Results are expressed as median (Mdn) and Interquartile range (IQR), otherwise indicated. All tests were two-tailed, and the level of significance was set at P<0.05. For metabolic response analysis (VO\textsubscript{2} and VE), last minute values of the mADL-Test were used. Physiological VO\textsubscript{2} profile of the mADL-Test was analyzed with Friedman test.

Results

During 2011, 71 COPD patients were admitted to the HH program due to exacerbation. However, only 29 patients (41%) were admitted during the recruitment phase of this study and invited to participate. Unfortunately, 10 patients were excluded: 5 with neoplasia, 4 with movement disturbances, 1 with cardiac instability (New York Heart Association\textsuperscript{25} class IV), and 2 declined the invitation. Consequently, 17 patients were included. The general characteristics of the sample were (Mdn and IQR): 66 (60–84) years, 13 were men, and FEV\textsubscript{1} was 38% (29%–44%) of predicted. More information about the study population at the time of inclusion is shown in Table 1.

The mADL-Tests performance is shown in Table 2. The majority of the patients increased, gradually and significantly, the number of laps (4, 5 and 5 <0.05) during follow up (L\textsubscript{0}, L\textsubscript{1post} and L\textsubscript{30post}, respectively). Overall, 12 out of 17 patients increased ≥1 lap between V\textsubscript{0} and V\textsubscript{30post}. Nevertheless, whereas the number of laps increased, the final values of VO\textsubscript{2} and VE did not improve significantly between the first and the last assessment (P=0.311 and P=0.244, respectively). However, exercise performance improvement, represented by an increment of the peak VO\textsubscript{2}, was observed. Moreover, patients presented lower basal HR and higher basal saturation, indicating improved physical status.

The physiological response of the test showed a steady-state VO\textsubscript{2} profile from the second minute up to the end of the test (Fig. 2), which is representative of sub-maximal tests such as the 6MWT.\textsuperscript{26}

The mADL-T was suitable for any location; it was well tolerated by all patients, and no adverse events were reported. None of the patients were excluded for logistical issues (such as the size of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Characteristics of the Study Group.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participants, n</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>66 (60–84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, male, n (%)</td>
<td>15 (83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking history, pack-year</td>
<td>60 (20–150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m\textsuperscript{2}</td>
<td>27.6 (24.2–30.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of HH stay, days</td>
<td>5.5 (4–7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Charlson Index</td>
<td>4 (3–6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Forced spirometry:

- FEV\textsubscript{1}, L
- FEV\textsubscript{1} % predicted
- FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC, ratio
- GOLD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GOLD</th>
<th>2, n (%)</th>
<th>3, n (%)</th>
<th>4, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 (12)</td>
<td>11 (65)</td>
<td>4 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7 (40)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, Body Mass Index; HH, home hospitalization; FEV\textsubscript{1}, force expiratory volume during the first second; FVC, forced vital capacity; LTOT, long term oxygen therapy.
Table 2
Cardiopulmonary and Physiological Response of the mADL-Test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N=17</th>
<th>( V_0 )</th>
<th>( V_{1\text{post}} )</th>
<th>( V_{10\text{post}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Functional capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laps, n</td>
<td>4(3–6)</td>
<td>5(3–5.5)</td>
<td>5(3.5–7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{VO}_2 ), ml/min</td>
<td>906(833–1009)</td>
<td>961(915–1241)</td>
<td>1008(793–1349)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{VO}_2 ), kg</td>
<td>11(10–13)</td>
<td>12(10–15)</td>
<td>13(10,16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VE, l/min</td>
<td>28(23–31)</td>
<td>31(25–37)</td>
<td>27(24–36)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{O}_2 )saturation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal, %</td>
<td>93(90–95)</td>
<td>94(92–96)</td>
<td>94(92–96)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final, %</td>
<td>88(81–92)</td>
<td>91(85–94)</td>
<td>92(84–93)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal, bpm</td>
<td>90(73–96)</td>
<td>83(70–94)</td>
<td>77(71–92)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final, bpm</td>
<td>104(93–117)</td>
<td>99(86–108)</td>
<td>105(84–119)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of perceived exertion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal</td>
<td>1(0–2)</td>
<td>1(0–2)</td>
<td>0(0–1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dyspnea</td>
<td>5(4–7)</td>
<td>4(2–6)</td>
<td>5(4–7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal fatigue</td>
<td>0(0–2)</td>
<td>0(0–0)</td>
<td>0(0–1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final fatigue</td>
<td>2(0–4)</td>
<td>1(0–2)</td>
<td>2(0–3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3
Clinical Evolution of Patients After HH Discharge.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N=17</th>
<th>( V_0 )</th>
<th>( V_{1\text{post}} )</th>
<th>( V_{10\text{post}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mMRc score</td>
<td>2(1–2)</td>
<td>1.5(1–2)</td>
<td>1(1–1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCADL score</td>
<td>16(11–25)</td>
<td>17(13–23)</td>
<td>15(13–18)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-care</td>
<td>6(5–11)</td>
<td>6(4–11)</td>
<td>5(4–6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOMestic</td>
<td>1(0–2)</td>
<td>1(0–3)</td>
<td>1(0–5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>3(1–6)</td>
<td>4(2–5)</td>
<td>3(2–5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leisure</td>
<td>3(2–5)</td>
<td>4(3–4)</td>
<td>4(3–4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAT score</td>
<td>19(11–26)</td>
<td>12(7–16)</td>
<td>12(8–15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Báecke score</td>
<td>4(2–11)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>14(7–16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low active, n (%)</td>
<td>11(65)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>5(29)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate active, n (%)</td>
<td>6(35)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>8(47)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High active, n (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>4(24)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handgrip dynamometry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominant hand, kg</td>
<td>30(22–37)</td>
<td>31(23–38)</td>
<td>31(20–36)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-dominant hand, kg</td>
<td>26(21–34)</td>
<td>27(19–36)</td>
<td>26(19–33)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: mMRc, Modified Medical Research Council dyspnea scale; LCADL, London Chest Activities of Daily Living questionnaire; CAT, COPD Assessment Test questionnaire; N/A, not available.

Discussion
This study has shown the mADL-Test to be a suitable tool for measuring the functional status of moderate-to-very-severe post-exacerbation COPD patients in the home setting. We have also shown that functional status, measured by the mADL-Test, continues to improve in the first 10 days after HH discharge.

Functional status is related to COPD clinical outcomes, and therefore its assessment is relevant to patient management, although there is no gold standard test\(^a\) and difficulties are sometime encountered. The clinical status of the patient – stable and exacerbated phase – and the care setting – hospital and outpatient environments – can make this task difficult. Specifically, exercise field tests can be challenging in home-based programs due to space limitations. After previous evaluation of the Glittrte test,\(^b\) we aimed to explore the feasibility of using it to assess functional status in the home setting. In this study, the mADL-Test was found to be suitable for home use, even in post-exacerbation COPD patients with only one evaluator. However, for the purpose of this study, we modified the original Glittrte test to facilitate set-up and performance of the test in different home settings. The work-load of the original test remained unchanged, although the lay-out was modified. The mADL-Test physiological profile is analogous to the original test.\(^c\)

We also established the number of laps completed by the patient in 6 min as the main outcome. The aim was to obtain comparable physiological records from all the subjects, and avoid the possible ‘floor’ effect that has been described when patients are instructed to complete a fixed number of laps.\(^d\) In addition, recent studies have shown that the Glittrte test is reproducible\(^e\) with suitable instructions. However, we did not validate our modifications against a gold standard test or the original one, and therefore our results should be treated with caution.

The originality of our study also lies in the contribution of new data on the natural functional recovery of post-exacerbation COPD patients: the mADL-Test performance improves in the first 10 days...
following HH discharge. In our study, despite its limited sample size, performance of the mADL-test improved in parallel with the clinical improvement shown by patients. Symptoms improved by the end of the study (significant improvement in dyspnea level, health status and physical activity) along with an improvement in cardiopulmonary variables (lower HR at the begging of the test, higher SpO2 at the end of the test, and a tendency toward peak VO2 improvement). We acknowledge that the metabolic response of the test did not significantly differ between visits, even though median VO2 values would seem to increase during follow-up. One possible explanation, together with the small sample size, is that clinical recovery, such a reduction in mMRC, might have allowed patients to increase the number of laps without a significant increase in oxygen uptake. It should be noted that our patients did not participate in any pulmonary rehabilitation program after discharge, so we speculate that the slight recovery might be a result of the previous treatment received (within an integrated care unit) and the small margin of improvement without supplementary treatment (such as exercise training). In addition, sub-maximal exercise tests have been shown to be better than incremental test in detecting functional changes in COPD patients,27 which would explain the response to the mADL-Test during the recovery phase after an exacerbation. The 6MWT has already been shown to achieve significant improvement 1 month after discharge in untrained post-exacerbation COPD patients,5,28 whereas other studies failed to detect any improvement at 6-week follow-up using the incremental shuttle walking test.29,30 In addition, there were no safety concerns during performance of any of the tests, so we believe that the mADL-Test is a good option for functional status assessment of COPD patients, even during the recovery phase of an exacerbation.

Finally, some other limitations of this study should be mentioned. We could not calculate the sample size in advance, since this was a feasibility study with no previously recorded data. This may have contributed to the insufficient statistical power obtained in some comparisons, such as establishing a correlation between mADL-Test results and clinical variables. Also, the vast majority of our patients were male, and therefore, results cannot be generalized to both genders. Lastly, it is important to note that 7 out of the 17 patients had to perform the mADL-Test without their supplementary oxygen therapy in order to record physiological parameters, and we believe this could explain some of the variability seen in our results.

In conclusion, the mADL-Test is effective in measuring overall functional status in the home setting. It can be particularly useful in home care units and as a means of assessing other non-COPD patients with potentially altered functional status.31 More research into the mADL-Test properties is needed, as well as more studies to fully clarify the recovery pattern after a COPD exacerbation.

Conclusions

The findings of this study suggest that the mADL-Test is a feasible and safe tool for assessing the functional status of moderate-to-severe COPD patients recovering from an exacerbation at home. Post-exacerbation functional status treated in a HH program improves 10 days after discharge. Undoubtedly, larger studies are warranted to confirm and expand these results.
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