Letters to the Editor

Empyema Caused by *Legionella Pneumophila*

Dear Editor:

In *Legionella* infections, pleural affection is not infrequent, but the formation of empyema and the isolation of the microorganism in the pleural liquid are considered exceptional. A 65-year-old male, ex-smoker of 40 pack-years with no other history of interest, was admitted due to dyspnea, cough with very little expectoration of mucus and pleuritic-type pain in the left hemithorax that had been evolving over the previous 5 days without fever. Upon physical examination, we observed tachypnea; pulmonary auscultation revealed diminished vesicular murmur and wet crackles in the lower third of both hemithoraces as well as pleural friction rub in the lower third of the left hemithorax. The blood analysis showed evidence of leukocytosis (21 800/mm$^3$) with neutrophilia (95%); C reactive protein, 34 mg/dl. Posteroanterior radiography revealed left pleural thickening, bilateral alveolar-interstitial pattern, condensation in both pulmonary bases and blunting of the left costophrenic angle (Fig. 1A). Thoracic computed tomography (CT) 48 h later demonstrated extensive bilateral alveolar condensation with predominantly right pleural effusion, several left pleural collections and inflammatory lymphadenopathies (Fig. 1B). Given the presence of acute-phase reactants and the radiological findings, antibiotic treatment was begun with intravenous levofloxacin and beta-lactams, and after the CT bronchoscopy was performed, which was compatible with an acute suppurative process, and thoracocentesis, which showed a purulent exudate, with a pH of 7.22; 22 730 leukocytes/mm$^3$; 73% neutrophils; 27% lymphocytes; glucose, 110 mg/dl; LDH, 2371 U/l, and proteins, 4.5 g/dl. Right thoracic drainage was begun with the instillation of 100 000 IU of urokinase and the emission of 500 ml over the course of 3 days. Organized left pleural effusion was treated conservatively as there was limited pleural space. The *Legionella* antigens in urine were positive, and 7 days later *L. pneumophila* growth was observed in the pleural liquid on BCYEa medium and later confirmed by PCR-STB (sequence-based typing). The other tests (bronchial aspiration culture, protected telescoping catheter, blood cultures) were negative. The evolution of the patient was favorable, with progressive remission of the symptoms and of the pulmonary infiltrates.

Disease caused by *Legionella* usually runs its course with cough, fever, anorexia, myalgia, cephalalgia and pleuritic pain in one-third of patients, in addition to other non-pulmonary manifestations. A small amount of pleural effusion has been reported in 10%–50% of the patients, and this may precede the development of the parenchymatous process. Empyema due to *L. pneumophila* and the isolation of the germ in the pleural liquid are both uncommon in the literature. Few cases have been reported that run their course with pneumonia and empyema due to this microorganism, especially in immunosuppressed patients and transplant recipients. Winn and Myerowitz studied the necropsies of 74 patients diagnosed with legionellosis with pleural effusion and only in 5 cases was the volume more than 200 ml, with findings for empyema in 2 cases. *Legionella* cultures in BCYEa have been the gold standard for the diagnosis of legionellosis, with a sensitivity from 20% to 95% and specificity near 100%. Rarely have positive cultures been obtained.
from the pleural liquid as in our case. We conclude that, although empyema due to \textit{L. pneumophila} is infrequent, it should be taken into consideration and, therefore, in geographical regions with a high prevalence, \textit{Legionella} should be included in the protocol of invasive respiratory sample studies.
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**Hiccups Induced by Midazolam During Sedation in Flexible Bronchoscopy**

**Hipo inducido por midazolam durante la sedación en fibrobroncoscopia**

**Dear Editor:**

Midazolam is one of the most frequently used benzodi-azepines for the conscious sedation used during bronchoscopy. It is highly satisfactory and tolerated by the patients without contraindications,\(^1\) and it reduces the difficulty in performing the bronchoscopy technique by making it shorter. Its properties include its acting as a sedative, amnesiac, muscle relaxant, anxiolytic and anti-convulsiv.e.\(^2\) Despite being such a safe drug, it is necessary to know its possible risks in order to provide proper patient care and to optimize its use.

We performed flexible bronchoscopy on a 49-year-old non-smoker female patient who had previously occasionally taken benzodiazepines at home with no adverse effects. The patient was being studied due to mild hemoptoic expectoration with no other accompanying symptoms but with a bilateral pulmonary micronodular pattern on chest radiography. We administered 2 mg of \(^2\) topical intranasal lidocaine and 2 mg of midazolam intravenously. Approximately one minute after the administration of the midazolam, we observed a case of adult hiccups that did not resolve after the intravenous administration of 10 mg of metoclopramide. It did, however, stop immediately after the later administration of 1 mg of intravenous flumazenil; therefore, we can establish the relationship with the drug. It was necessary to perform a second bronchoscopy in the same patient in which, once again, 3 mg of midazolam was administered after the previous perfusion of metoclopramide, and no hiccups episode occurred on this occasion. The final diagnosis obtained was advanced-stage lung adenocarcinoma.

Although it has been reported that various types of drugs are able to induce hiccups infrequently, especially corticosteroids and benzodiazepines (dexamethasone, methylprednisolone, chlordiazepoxide and midazolam among others),\(^3\) it has been considered that there is little scientific evidence to definitively attribute the association to any one of them.\(^4\) Nevertheless, in children a significant incidence of hiccups has been observed after the administration of midazolam, especially in those of younger ages.\(^5\)

Although we know that benzodiazepines act on the central nervous system, the mechanism by which midazolam induces hiccups as an adverse reaction has not been clearly explained.\(^6\) It seems to be related with the GABA neurotransmitters, which it stimulates to produce multiple effects, but this is not exactly clear.

In our case, the rapid response to flumazenil makes it quite likely that the appearance of hiccups can be attributed to midazolam.

We believe it is interesting to report that the presence of hiccup during bronchoscopy may be due to the use of midazolam and, if required, it may be easily reverted with flumazenil as this is a competitive antagonist of the receptors of short-acting benzodiazepines. In addition, it seems worthy to note that, as we have observed in our experience, the drug may be used once again in the same patient without major risk.
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