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Below What FEV\textsubscript{1} Should Arterial Blood be Routinely Taken to Detect Chronic Respiratory Failure in COPD?\textsuperscript{*}
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\textbf{Abstract}

\textbf{Introduction:} To diagnose and assess chronic respiratory failure in stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) the measurement of arterial blood gases (ABG) is required. It has been suggested that ABG could be determined for this purpose when FEV\textsubscript{1} ranges between 50% and 30% predicted, but these thresholds are not evidence-based.

\textbf{Objective:} To identify the post-bronchodilator (BD) FEV\textsubscript{1} and arterial oxygen saturation (SaO\textsubscript{2}) values that provide the best sensitivity, specificity, and likelihood ratio (LR) for the diagnosis of hypoxaemic and/or hypercapnic chronic respiratory failures in stable COPD.

\textbf{Methods:} A total of 150 patients were included (39 with PaO\textsubscript{2} < 60 mm Hg [8 kPa], 14 of them with a PaCO\textsubscript{2} ≥ 50 mm Hg [6.7 kPa]). The best post-BD FEV\textsubscript{1} and SaO\textsubscript{2} cut-off points to predict chronic respiratory failure were selected using the PC and the Receiver Operating Characteristics (ROC) curves.

\textbf{Results:} A post-BD FEV\textsubscript{1} equal to 36% and an SaO\textsubscript{2} of 90% were the best predictive values for hypoxaemic respiratory failure and a post-BD FEV\textsubscript{1} equal to 33% for the hypercapnic variant. An FEV\textsubscript{1} ≥ 45% ruled out hypoxaemic respiratory failure.

\textbf{Conclusion:} A post-BD FEV\textsubscript{1} of 36% is the best cut-off point to adequately predict both hypoxaemic and hypercapnic respiratory failure in the patient with stable COPD. For its part, an SaO\textsubscript{2} of 90% is the best value for isolated hypoxaemic failure. These values could be considered for future clinical recommendations/guidelines for COPD.

© 2010 SEPAR. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

\textbf{¿Cuál es el mejor FEV\textsubscript{1} para detectar insuficiencia respiratoria crónica en la EPOC estable?}

\textbf{Resumen}

\textbf{Introducción:} La gasometría arterial es la medición de elección para el diagnóstico de insuficiencia respiratoria crónica en la enfermedad pulmonar obstructiva crónica (EPOC). Se ha sugerido que el FEV\textsubscript{1} se sitúe entre el 30 y el 50% del valor teórico para su indicación, pero estas cifras nunca han sido validadas.

\textbf{Objetivo:} Identificar los valores de FEV\textsubscript{1} post-broncodilatador (BD) y saturación arterial de oxígeno (SaO\textsubscript{2}) que proporcionen la mejor sensibilidad, especificidad y coeficientes de probabilidad (CP) para el diagnóstico de insuficiencia respiratoria crónica hipoxémica y/o hipercapnica en la EPOC estable.

\textbf{Métodos:} Se incluyeron 150 pacientes (39 con PaO\textsubscript{2} < 60 mm Hg [8 kPa], 14 de ellos con una PaCO\textsubscript{2} ≥ 50 mm Hg [6.7 kPa]). Se seleccionaron los mejores puntos de corte de FEV\textsubscript{1} post-BD y SaO\textsubscript{2} para predecir la insuficiencia respiratoria crónica empleando los CP y las curvas Receiver Operating Characteristic.

\textbf{Resultados:} Un FEV\textsubscript{1} post-BD igual al 36% y una SaO\textsubscript{2} del 90% fueron los mejores valores predictivos de insuficiencia respiratoria hipoxémica y un FEV\textsubscript{1} post-BD igual al 33% para la variante hipercapnica. Un FEV\textsubscript{1} ≥ 45% descartó la insuficiencia respiratoria hipoxémica.

\textsuperscript{*} Please cite this article as: Rodríguez DA, et al. ¿Cuál es el mejor FEV\textsubscript{1} para detectar insuficiencia respiratoria crónica en la EPOC estable? Arch Bronconeumol. 2011;47(7):325–9.
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Introduction

Arterial blood gas (ABG) test is the usual clinical procedure for the diagnosis and treatment of chronic respiratory failure in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).\textsuperscript{1,2} ABG by means of either radial artery puncture or puncture of another peripheral artery is the most recommended practice. Puncture of the ear lobe only reflects the arterial pressure of carbon dioxide (PaCO\textsubscript{2})\textsuperscript{3,4} and pulse-oximetry, which is the best non-harmful alternative, and is only useful for evaluating the evolution of respiratory insufficiency and/or adjusting oxygen therapy needs.\textsuperscript{5}

Chronic respiratory failure is defined as a state or situation in which the values of PaO\textsubscript{2} are less than 60 mm Hg (8 kPa), with or without associated hypercapnia (PaCO\textsubscript{2} ≥ 50 mm Hg [6.7 kPa]), breathing room air (in standard conditions).\textsuperscript{6} However, not all the patients with COPD, especially those with advanced stage, present hypoxemic or hypercapnic respiratory failure.\textsuperscript{7,8} As ABG is a harmful diagnostic method and not always indicated in clinical practice, it would be useful if other functional variables that are much less harmful, such as FEV\textsubscript{1} and SaO\textsubscript{2}, could indicate ABG with the best possible precision for the diagnosis of said respiratory failure.

The first report of the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) recommended carrying out ABG under standard conditions in stable COPD patients when post-bronchodilator FEV\textsubscript{1} (BD) was less than 40\% of the predicted value as the best cut-point.\textsuperscript{9} However, its most recent update recommended a value less than 50\%, consistent with a severe spirometric classification (stage 3) of the disease.\textsuperscript{10} It should be mentioned that the National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE) guideline proposes carrying out ABG when the pre-BD FEV\textsubscript{1} is less than 30\% predicted and also recommends it in less severe patients when pre-BD FEV\textsubscript{1} is between 30\% and 49\% predicted or SaO\textsubscript{2} is equal or less than 92\%.\textsuperscript{5} However, none of these cut-points has been validated to date.

It should be mentioned that there has been one retrospective study that has proposed a threshold of less than 40\% the predicted value as the best cut-point for ABG.\textsuperscript{11} It is obvious that if the cut-point is very high, all the patients with hypoxemic or hypercapnic respiratory insufficiency will be diagnosed, although many others, whose levels do not reach said level, could unnecessarily undergo ABG, with its risks and usual costs.\textsuperscript{12} However, if the FEV\textsubscript{1} cut-point is lower, some patients with respiratory failure will go undiagnosed, which can entail the appearance of complications of the underlying respiratory failure.

The hypothesis that we contemplated was that adequate post-BD FEV\textsubscript{1} and SaO\textsubscript{2} values should be identified to rule out the presence of chronic respiratory failure, which would help to better direct the indication of ABG in patients with advanced stable COPD. The objective of our study was, therefore, to research the most adequate cut-points for post-BD FEV\textsubscript{1} and SaO\textsubscript{2}, evaluating the interrelations between FEV\textsubscript{1} (expressed as percentage of the predicted value) and SaO\textsubscript{2} (as percentage) on one hand, and the PaO\textsubscript{2} and PaCO\textsubscript{2} (in mmHg) values on the other, in 150 patients with stable COPD representing the complete spectrum of the disease.

Methods

Study Population and Measurements

The patients included (n = 150) corresponded with all those patients who were undergoing studies of the distributions of the ventilation–perfusion relationship in our center by means of the multiple inert gas elimination technique.\textsuperscript{13} The origin of the patients was as follows: 21 had been hospitalized due to previous COPD exacerbation; 59 patients had undergone extirpation of a lung nodule (n = 29), lung volume reduction surgery (n = 11) or lung transplantation (n = 19); and the 70 remaining patients were recruited for several studies, of whom only 10 had mild COPD (GOLD stage 1). These measurements were done in specific experimental conditions throughout the period between 1987 and 2008, using three different blood gas analyzers (IZASA model IIBG 3, IZASA model IL1302 and Bayer 800) in 14 different studies whose results have been extensively published.\textsuperscript{14} The measurements of ABG were taken under stable conditions, at least three months after the last exacerbation, in duplicate, breathing room air, in a sitting position and at sea level. The SaO\textsubscript{2} values were obtained from these blood gas samples.\textsuperscript{14} We excluded patients with concomitant processes or comorbidities (heart failure, diabetes mellitus or other chronic respiratory diseases, such as sleep apnea syndrome).\textsuperscript{14} All the patients were active smokers (n = 30) or ex-smokers (n = 120) and the majority were male (n = 142). The distribution by stages according to the GOLD report was: 15 patients (10\%), stage 1: 40 (27\%), stage 2: 32 (21\%), stage 3; and 63 (42\%), stage 4. All the patients accepted to participate and signed the respective informed consents after a detailed description of each study and they were approved by the ethics committee of the Hospital Clinic, Universitat de Barcelona.

Statistical Analysis

The results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation for the variables with normal distribution or as median and percentiles 5 and 95 (P\textsubscript{5}–P\textsubscript{95}) for the abnormal distribution. Using as target variables the universal values of PaO\textsubscript{2} and PaCO\textsubscript{2} defining respiratory failure,\textsuperscript{6} Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves were calculated, dividing said variables dichotomically in isolated chronic respiratory failure (PaO\textsubscript{2} < 60 mm Hg [8 kPa] versus PaO\textsubscript{2} ≥ 60 mm Hg) and/or hypercapnic (PaCO\textsubscript{2} ≥ 50 mm Hg versus PaCO\textsubscript{2} < 50 mm Hg) and constructing said curves to determine the best value of FEV\textsubscript{1} (expressed as percentage of predicted) and SaO\textsubscript{2} (in percentage) to predict both types of respiratory failure (hypoxemic and hypercapnic). Likewise, the area under the curve (AUC) was calculated for each ROC curve non-parametrically.\textsuperscript{15,16}

The predictive values were also calculated, both positive (PPV) and negative (NPV), to evaluate the best positive and negative results of the procedure.\textsuperscript{17} Afterwards, we explored the diagnostic capacity for prediction of post-BD FEV\textsubscript{1} in the interval of 30\% and 50\% of the predicted value, and SaO\textsubscript{2}, between 90\% and 94\%, and respective cut-points were selected that included the best sensitivity and specificity. We also evaluated the means and 95\% confidence intervals (95\% CI) for the sensitivity, specificity, PPV
and NPV. The estimations of the sensitivity are necessary for the calculation of the likelihood ratios (LR), which are defined as the probability of a certain value in a patient affected by clinical symptoms in comparison with the probability in another patient that does not have said clinical condition. A positive LR (+), calculated by the sensitivity/(1-specificity) ratio, above 10 is necessary for the diagnostic test to be considered as having powerful confirmatory evidence of the clinical symptoms, while a negative LR (−), calculated with the ratio (1-sensitivity)/specificity lower than 0.10, is considered sufficient to rule out the condition. The LR are not modified by the prevalence of the underlying clinical profile, therefore they are robust tool for evaluating disease biomarkers.

In this sense, the LR were used to evaluate the best post-BD FEV1 (as percentage of predicted) and SaO2 (in percentage) predictive values using PaO2 < 60 mm Hg as a primary objective, with or without associated hypercapnia (PaCO2 ≥ 50 mm Hg). The calculations were done with SPSS/PC (version 15.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and MedCalc (version 9.3.9.0; MedCalc, Mariakerke, Belgium).

**Results**

Table 1 shows the main characteristics of our study population. A total of 39 patients with COPD (26%) presented chronic respiratory failure: 25 had isolated severe arterial hypoxemia (PaO2 < 60 mm Hg) and 14 had associated hypercapnia (PaCO2 ≥ 50 mm Hg). Both the obese patients (body mass index, 32 ± 2 kg/m²; n = 18; 12%) as well as the non-obese ones (24 ± 3 kg/m²; n = 132; 88%) showed similar PaCO2 values (42 ± 6 and 41 ± 6 mm Hg) and pH (7.39 ± 0.90 and 7.40 ± 0.10), respectively. The PaO2 in patients with hypoxemic respiratory failure (54 ± 5 mm Hg) and in those with hypercapnic respiratory insufficiency (52 ± 5 mm Hg) was not different (P = .11); in contrast, PaCO2 was significantly higher in the group of hypoxemic respiratory failure (55 ± 5 mm Hg) compared with those that only had the hypoxemic variety (44 ± 4 mm Hg) (P < .05).

Fig. 1 includes the two ROC curves with the best post-BD FEV1 cut-points for the evaluation of hypoxemic (Fig. 1a) and hypercapnic respiratory failure (Fig. 1b), including the respective AUC, all of which were significant (interval 0.81–0.82; P < .01 in each).

Table 2 demonstrates the values for sensitivity, LR+, PPV, specificity, LR- and NPV for predicting the diagnosis of isolated hypoxemic (PaO2 < 60 mm Hg) or hypercapnic respiratory failure (PaO2 < 60 mm Hg with PaCO2 ≥ 50 mm Hg). Table 2A indicates that a post-BD FEV1 equal to 36% is the threshold with greater capacity for predicting hypoxemic respiratory failure (sensitivity: 0.87; LR+: 2.79; PPV: 0.49; specificity: 0.68; LR−: 0.19; NPV: 0.94). With the use of this cut-point, a lower number of false positives were found (n = 35; 23%) compared with a post-BD FEV1 < 50% (n = 56; 37%). The latter included a lower number of false negative cases (n = 0) than the selected cut-point (n = 5; 3%). The analysis of the ROC curve for obese patients with COPD demonstrated that the best post-BD FEV1 for predicting hypoxemic respiratory failure is very close (34%) to that of the population studied in our paper.

Table 2B emphasizes a post-BD FEV1 equal to 33% as the cut-point with greater discriminating capacity for hypercapnic respiratory failure, including the best levels of sensitivity (0.93), LR+ (2.65), PPV (0.21), specificity (0.65), LR− (0.10) and NPV (0.99). We observed 30 false-positive cases (22%) compared with the 56 (37%) when a post-BD FEV1 < 50% was used, although there were no false negative cases with the latter value. It should be highlighted that a post-BD FEV1 equal to 35% was an adequate value for ruling out hypercapnic respiratory failure (LR−: 0).

Last of all, Fig. 2 includes the ROC curve that identifies 90% as the best SaO2 value for suspecting the diagnosis of hypoxemic respiratory failure (sensitivity: 0.73; LR+: 24.33; PPV: 0.91; specificity: 0.97; LR−: 0.27; NPV: 0.91). Likewise, an SaO2 ≥ 91% (LR+: 15.20) was sufficient for suspecting the diagnosis of hypoxemic respiratory failure.

---

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Participants (n = 150)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender, M:F</td>
<td>142:8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>61 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>25 (19–33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-BD FEV₁/FVC</td>
<td>0.40 (0.23–0.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-BD FEV₁, % predicted</td>
<td>35 (16–81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-BD FEV₁/FVC</td>
<td>0.41 (0.24–0.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-BD FEV₁, % predicted</td>
<td>40 (17–86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC, % predicted</td>
<td>114 ± 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRC, % predicted</td>
<td>158 ± 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC, % predicted</td>
<td>65 ± 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLCO, % predicted</td>
<td>56 ± 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.40 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO₂, mm Hg</td>
<td>69 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SaO₂</td>
<td>92 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaCO₂, mm Hg</td>
<td>40 (32–51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AaPO₂, mm Hg</td>
<td>32 ± 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values are expressed as mean ± SD or medians (P5–P95). AaPO₂: alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient; DLCO: carbon monoxide diffusing capacity; FRC: functional residual capacity; IC: inspiratory capacity; BMI: body mass index; PaCO₂: carbon dioxide arterial pressure; PaO₂: oxygen arterial pressure; SaO₂: arterial oxygen saturation.
In addition, FEV₁ an FEV₁ which was adequate for screening chronic hypoxic respiratory failure, but without estimating the best FEV₁ to warrant ABG. Along a similar line to that of our study, Franciosi et al. investigated which could be the best clinical and functional markers of severity in the clinical practice in 145,000 patients affected by COPD. They concluded that PaO₂ was one of the most relevant markers for differentiating the different spirometric stages of the disease; nevertheless, the most adequate FEV₁ value for performing ABG in a patient with stable COPD was not determined. Using an analysis of the main components for evaluating the potential of multidimensional staging of stable COPD patients, Celli et al. observed that the best threshold for detecting chronic respiratory failure could be FEV₁ <40% predicted, a percentage that was not based on direct evidence.

Although PaCO₂ ≥ 44 mm Hg has been identified with a higher number of hospitalizations due to COPD exacerbations, hypercapnia has never been referred to as a reliable marker of the progression of COPD. Our findings confirm that a post-BD FEV₁ of 33% is a good cut-point to indicate whether to carry out ABG for the diagnosis of chronic hypercapnic respiratory failure in COPD.

The present study carries a series of limitations, among which is the heterogeneity of the population, its gender bias, cross-sectional design and retrospective nature. It should also be mentioned that the SaO₂ values included were derived from the measurements made with the ABG samples, which contrasts with the daily clinical practice in which physicians use pulse-oximetry for its measurement. In addition, the levels of carboxyhemoglobin, which also modulate SaO₂, were not measured. However, these limitations were offset by two important strengths. First, the patients included represent the entire spirometric spectrum of COPD; second, all our studies were done by the same laboratory and, which is even more important, using a common systematic methodology for the analysis of ABG.

Since 2001, when the first GOLD report was published, until more recent contributions, an FEV₁ equal to 50% is the ideal percentage for carrying out ABG with the aim of diagnosing and managing chronic respiratory failure in stable COPD, even though this percentage has never been validated. In accordance with our results, a post-BD FEV₁ value of 36% has been suggested as the most adequate cut-point for the diagnosis, evaluation and management of hypoxic and hypercapnic chronic respiratory failure in patients with stable COPD. Likewise and complementarily, SaO₂ equal to 90% could also be considered for the diagnosis of hypoxic chronic respiratory failure. It must be stated, however, that our data are not far off the mark of the recommendations proposed in the first GOLD report or the NICE guidelines, which only includes pre-BD FEV₁ values. In any event, our results should be replicated with a prospective study including a larger patient population.
In short, future clinical guidelines or recommendations for COPD could consider the cut-points for FEV\(_1\) and SaO\(_2\) suggested by our study. This would avoid the practice of unnecessary arterial punctures, which may always result in side effects and patient discomfort as well as excessive costs for health-care systems.
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