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ARTICLE INFO

Introduction: The new 2009 TNM classification introduced important modifications in lung cancer staging. The aim of this study is to validate our series of patients with pathologic stage I non-small-cell lung cancer according to the 7th edition of the TNM classification of malignant tumors and to the factors related with prognosis.

Patients and methods: A multicenter retrospective study was performed. Survival rates were calculated by the Kaplan–Meier method, and for multivariate analyses, Cox proportional hazards regression model was used. The following variables were analyzed: age, sex, pathologic stage, T category, histology, type of resection and tumor size.

Results: A total of 402 patients were included. Mean follow-up was 70.18 months. Overall 5-year survival was 68%. Males and patients over 70 had lower survival. Prognosis worsened with increasing pathologic stage, T category and tumor size. We found no statistically significant differences in prognosis for histology or type of resection. Multivariate analysis showed age, sex and pathologic stage to be independent prognostic factors.

Conclusions: Survival results and the analysis of prognostic factors in our series are similar to those published in the new 2009 TNM classification. The most important prognostic factor is pathologic stage. Other adverse prognostic factors include male sex and age over 70.

© 2011 SEPAR. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

Análisis multicéntrico de supervivencia y factores pronósticos en el carcinoma no microcítico de pulmón en estadio I patológico según la nueva clasificación TNM de 2009

RESUMEN

Introducción: La nueva clasificación TNM de 2009 ha introducido importantes modificaciones en la estadificación del cáncer de pulmón. El objetivo de este trabajo es validar nuestra serie de pacientes con carcinoma no microcítico de pulmón en estadio I patológico según la séptima edición de la clasificación TNM de los tumores malignos y analizar los factores relacionados con el pronóstico.

Pacientes y métodos: Se realizó un estudio retrospectivo y multicéntrico. Para el análisis de supervivencia se utilizó el método de Kaplan–Meier y para el análisis multivariable, la regresión de Cox. Se analizaron las siguientes variables: edad, sexo, estadio patológico, categoría T, tipo histológico, tipo de resección y tamaño tumoral.

Resultados: Se incluyó a 402 pacientes con un seguimiento medio de 70,18 meses. La supervivencia global a los 5 años fue del 68%. Los varones y los pacientes mayores de 70 años tenían una menor supervivencia. El pronóstico empeoraba a medida que aumentaba el estadio patológico, la categoría T y el tamaño tumoral.

© 2011 SEPAR. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.
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Introduction

Lung cancer, due to its incidence and mortality, is a public health problem in developed Western countries.\(^1,2\)

In 2009, the UICC and the AJCC published the seventh edition of the TNM classification for malignant tumors, in effect since January 2010. In this edition, the lung cancer classification, which has incorporated important modifications, was updated by the International Staging Committee of the IASLC, presided by Dr. P. Goldstraw, President.\(^3,4\)

Approximately 80% of all lung cancers belong to the group of non-small-cell carcinomas. In this histologic type, complete surgical resection is the treatment of choice in the initial stages, although surgical approaches and techniques are evolving.\(^5\)

Despite recent medical advances, survival of patients with lung cancer is low. If we center on non-small-cell carcinomas, which is the aim of this study, overall five-year survival in Europe does not surpass 15% in any of the European countries.\(^6,7\) Approximately 30% of patients with non-small-cell lung cancer in stage I who undergo complete resection relapse and die within 5 years, fundamentally due to the presence of micrometastasis at the time of resection.\(^8\) It is not known, however, what mechanisms produce these recurrences or in which patients they will occur.

The identification of prognostic factors in lung cancer is very useful for assessing the individual patient prognosis, selecting the best treatment, defining new criteria to classify patients according to risk groups and helping design and direct future research.\(^9\)

In recent years, more than 150 variables have been identified that have been described as prognostic factors in lung cancer and may implicate the tumor, the patient or the setting.\(^10\)

Therefore, the objectives of this study are to validate our surgical series of patients with pathologic stage I non-small-cell pulmonary carcinoma according to the seventh edition of the TNM classification for malignant tumors and to identify the factors that may be related with prognosis.

Patients and Methods

Patients

Patients who underwent surgical treatment for pathologic stage I non-small-cell lung cancer by the Thoracic Surgery Departments at the Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón (between 1991 and 2005), the Complejo Hospitalario Xeral-Cies in Vigo (between 2000 and 2004) and the Complejo Hospitalario Universitario in Albacete (between 2001 and 2006) with complete lung resection. The patients had not received either adjuvant or induction treatment.

Methods

We performed a multi-center, retrospective study, analyzing survival and determining prognostic factors.

The clinical data of the patients were compiled in a protocol that was common for the three health centers that participated in the study and were later introduced into a Microsoft Access\(^6\) database designed for their analysis.

This database included clinical variables as well as those from imaging studies, surgical intervention protocol and pathological anatomy reports, as well as data from the outpatient consultation follow-up (Table 1).

In all patients, complete surgical resection was performed. Lobectomy was considered the standard lung resection. Pneumonectomy was carried out when required due to technical or oncological reasons. Atypical lung resection was done in patient with high surgical risk or poor cardiorespiratory reserve. Usually, lymphadenectomy was performed systematically after lung resection, including the nodes of the hilar and mediastinal regions.

For the survival analysis and prognostic factors, the following variables were selected: age, sex, pathologic stage, \(T\) category (TNM), histologic type, resection type and tumor size.

The statistical analysis was done with SPSS 15.0 software package for Windows. In order to compare the independent quantitative variables, we used the Student’s \(t\) test, the Kruskal–Wallis test and the Mann–Whitney \(U\) test. Correlations between two categorical variables were studied with the \(\chi^2\) test. The survival analysis was calculated with the Kaplan–Meier product-limit method. Log-rank was used to evaluate whether the differences observed on two survival curves could be explained by chance. For the multivariable analysis, we constructed a Cox proportional hazard model (“enter” method) including the following variables: age, sex, histologic type, type of surgical resection and stage. A \(P\) value <.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

The sample selected for the study was composed of 402 patients: 180 from the Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, 49 of which had been included in the SEPAR study by
Table 2
Clinical and Surgical Data (n=402).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients, n (%)</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Affected hemithorax</th>
<th>Tobacco habit</th>
<th>Pre-op diagnosis</th>
<th>Tumor location</th>
<th>Type of resection</th>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>Degree of differentiation</th>
<th>T, TNM</th>
<th>Size, cm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;70</td>
<td>&gt;70</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Upper lobe</td>
<td>Atypical resection</td>
<td>Epidermoid carcinoma</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>T1a</td>
<td>0–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>290 (72)</td>
<td>122 (28)</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Upper lobe</td>
<td>Atypical resection</td>
<td>Epidermoid carcinoma</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>T1a</td>
<td>0–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle lobe</td>
<td>Lobectomy</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>T1b</td>
<td>2.1–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Lower lobe</td>
<td>Atypical resection</td>
<td>Large-cell carcinoma</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>T2a</td>
<td>3.1–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lobectomy</td>
<td>Adenosquamous carcinoma</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3
Pathological Data (n=402).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients, n (%)</th>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>Degree of differentiation</th>
<th>T, TNM</th>
<th>Size, cm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Epidermoid carcinoma</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>T1a</td>
<td>0–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>T1b</td>
<td>2.1–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large-cell carcinoma</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>T2a</td>
<td>3.1–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adenosquamous carcinoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T1a</td>
<td>93 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T1b</td>
<td>62 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T2a</td>
<td>247 (62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T1a</td>
<td>144 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T1b</td>
<td>120 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T2a</td>
<td>132 (33)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After a mean patient follow-up time of 70.18 months with a standard deviation of 45.15 months (range, 1.8–218.07), 186 patients (46%) were alive and disease-free, 18 (4%) were alive but with metastatic disease, 135 (34%) had died as a direct consequence of the relapse of the tumor disease and 63 (16%) had died due to causes other than lung cancer.

Table 4
Five-Year Survival Analysis According to the Kaplan–Meier Method (n=402).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients, n (%)</th>
<th>Global Sv</th>
<th>Svy/age</th>
<th>Svy/sex</th>
<th>Svy/histology</th>
<th>Svy/type of resection</th>
<th>Svy/stage</th>
<th>Svy/T category</th>
<th>Svy/tumor size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– (68)</td>
<td>.039</td>
<td>.027</td>
<td>.83</td>
<td>.94</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>.027</td>
</tr>
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</table>

Sv, survival.

The multivariable analysis done with the Cox proportional hazards model (Table 5) showed that age, sex and tumor stage are independent prognostic factors. The analysis, adjusted for the rest of the variables that were entered into the model, showed that the patients in stage IB have a risk for death that is 1.81 greater than the patients in stage IA. The analysis also showed that males are 2.15 times more likely to die than women, while patients over the age of 70 have a risk for death 1.02 times greater than younger patients.
Fig. 1. Survival curves of the patients according to the Kaplan–Meier method, and the statistical difference found: (A) according to age; (B) according to sex; (C) according to histologic type; (D) according to resection type.

Fig. 2. (A) Survival curves of the patients by the Kaplan–Meier method according to pathologic stage and the statistical difference found. (B) Survival curves of the patients by the Kaplan–Meier method according to T category; statistically significant differences were found between the survival curves for T1a and T2a ($P=0.005$), and between the survival curves of T1b and T2a ($P=0.049$); statistical significance was not met between the curves of T1a and T1b ($P=0.68$). (C) Survival curves of the patients by the Kaplan–Meier method according to tumor size; statistically significant differences were found between the survival curves for 0–2 cm and 3.1–5 cm ($P=0.01$); statistical significance was not reached between the survival curves for 0–2 cm and 2.1–3 cm ($P=0.13$), or between the survival curves for 2.1–3 cm and 3.1–5 cm ($P=0.28$).
However, as our research in the current series, this relationship is also seen in our series, where we have found statistically significant differences both in the analysis by stages (IA against IB) as well as in the overall survival analysis for the T categories (0–2 cm and 3.1–5 cm) (P<.01); although statistical significance was not reached, a relevant clinical influence was observed between the survival curves for 0–2 cm and 2.1–3 cm (P<.13), and between the survival curves for 2.1–3 cm and 3.1–5 cm (P<.28). The differences between the survival curves of the T category and the size descriptor are due to the influence on survival of the other descriptors of the T category mentioned previously, which were not able to be validated in the current TNM classification, and therefore have not been aims of the study.

Chansky et al.19 also found that sex and age are important prognostic factors in surgically resected non-small-cell lung cancer. Likewise, our series confirms that male sex and age over 70 are unfavorable prognostic factors.

In the survival study according to histologic type, we found no statistically significant differences. Chansky et al.19 obtained better survival of patients with bronchioloalveolar carcinoma, as already published by other authors.19,22,23 In our series, however, this relationship was not studied as the few cases of bronchioloalveolar carcinoma were included in the adenocarcinoma group for the statistical analysis.

Currently, lobectomy is considered the best oncological resection for the treatment of lung cancer as there is a greater percentage of relapse in atypical resections and segmentectomies.24 Nevertheless, recent studies have reported results comparable to those of lobectomy in small-sized peripheral tumors, basically bronchioloalveolar carcinomas.25–28 Thus, in the current recommendations, minor resections of a lobe are reserved only for this type of tumors under the right conditions.29 In our series, we also did not find differences in survival according to surgical resection type.

In conclusion, the analysis of the survival and prognostic factors in our series conforms to the results of the new TNM lung cancer classification. The most important prognostic factor is pathological stage. Other unfavorable prognostic factors are being male and being over the age of 70.
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