Clinical Predictors of Sleep Apnea-Hypopnea Syndrome Susceptible to Treatment With Continuous Positive Airway Pressure
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OBJECTIVE: To analyze the predictive value of clinical data for identifying patients suspected of sleep apnea-hypopnea syndrome with an apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) ≥30.

MATERIAL AND METHODS: Patient characteristics, cardiorespiratory medical history, and clinical signs and symptoms were recorded for all patients. Exclusion criteria were daytime respiratory insufficiency or heart failure. All patients underwent polysomnographic testing (AutoSet® Portable Plus II, ResMed Corp, Sydney, Australia) for automatic AHI calculation and manual determination of central and obstructive apneas. A logistic regression model was constructed to calculate the likelihood of an individual’s presenting an AHI ≥30 as well as the predictive value of each variable and of the final model.

RESULTS: Three hundred twenty-nine patients with a mean (SD) age of 58 (13.45) years were studied; 76.4% were men. Data for 207 patients were used to construct the logistic regression model: logit (P) = 2.5 hypertension + 1.5 Epworth test + body mass index + 0.6 repeated observed episodes of apnea – 2.1. Logit(P) was log(1-P)/P and variables were dichotomized with cut points of 11 for the Epworth test and of 30 kg/m² for body mass index. The diagnostic sensitivity of the model was 80.2% (75%-86%); specificity was 93.4% (89%-95%); positive predictive value was 89.6% (84%-93%) and negative predictive value was 86.9% (81%-90%), such that 89.6% of the patients were correctly classified. The variable with the greatest predictive value was high blood pressure. The model was validated prospectively in the remaining 102 patients.

CONCLUSIONS: Prior to diagnostic tests for sleep apnea-hypopnea syndrome, clinical data can be useful for identifying patients suspected to have an AHI ≥30.
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Valor predictivo de la clínica para la identificación de los pacientes con síndrome de apneas-hipopneas durante el sueño susceptibles de tratamiento con presión positiva continua de la vía aérea (CPAP)

OBJETIVO: Analizar el valor predictivo de las variables clínicas en la identificación de pacientes con sospecha de síndrome de apneas-hipopneas durante el sueño (SAHS) con un índice de apneas-hipopneas (IAH) superior a 30.

MATERIAL Y MÉTODOS: Se recogieron datos referentes a variables generales, antropométricas, antecedentes personales cardiorespiratorios, clínica y la sensación subjetiva del clínico. Se excluyó a los pacientes con insuficiencia respiratoria diurna o cardíaca. A todos ellos se les realizó un estudio polisomográfico (AutoSet®) con determinación automática del IAH y manual del índice de apneas obstructivas y centrales. Mediante la construcción de un modelo lógistico se calculó la probabilidad individual de presentar un IAH ≥ 30 así como el valor predictivo de cada variable estudiada por separado y de la ecuación logística final.

RESULTADOS: Se estudió a 329 pacientes, con una edad media ± desviación estándar de 58 ± 13,45 años; el 76.4% eran varones. Las variables de 207 pacientes se utilizaron para la construcción de la ecuación logística: logit P = 2.5 hipertensión arterial + 1,5 test de Epworth + índice de masa corporal + 0.6 apneas presenciadas y repetidas – 2.1; siendo logit P = log(1-p)/p y valorando las variables como dicotómicas con puntos de corte para el test de Epworth de 11 y para el índice de masa corporal de 30 kg/m². El valor diagnóstico de dicha ecuación fue: sensibilidad del 80.2% (75%-86%); especificidad del 93.4% (89%-95%); valor predictivo positivo del 89.6% (84-93%) y valor predictivo negativo del 86.9% (81-90%), lo que supuso un porcentaje de pacientes correctamente clasificados del 89.6%. La variable que presentó mayor capacidad predictora fue la presencia de hipertensión arterial. La ecuación se validó prospectivamente en los restantes 102 pacientes.

CONCLUSIONES: Los parámetros clínicos podrían ser útiles en la identificación, previa a la realización del estudio diagnóstico de SAHS, de aquellos pacientes con sospecha de SAHS que presentaran un IAH ≥ 30.

Introduction

Sleep apnea-hypopnea syndrome (SAHS) constitutes a recognized public health problem both because of its high prevalence in the general population and the morbidity and mortality it causes. If we consider an apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) over 10 together with the presence of excessive daytime sleepiness to indicate a diagnosis of SAHS, then the prevalence of SAHS among the middle-aged in Spain is estimated to be approximately 3% to 3.5%.2

SAHS should be diagnosed by polysomnography (PSG), although a valid diagnosis can be established by respiratory polygraphy that has been properly validated for populations with high or low probability of the diagnosis.3,4 Nevertheless, diagnosis is usually delayed significantly because the few sleep laboratories that are available are working at capacity.3 Considering the demonstrated relation between SAHS and a 2- to 7-fold greater likelihood of a patient having a traffic accident,5,6 an increased risk of cardiovascular disease or related death,8,11 and the great efficacy of continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) treatment on the main symptoms,12,15 the search for alternative diagnostic approaches would seem to be a priority, particularly in the effort to identify the most severe forms of the disease and initiate early treatment until a sleep study can confirm the diagnosis.

Therefore, various suggestions—from subjective clinical assessment14 to the application of clinical,15-21 functional,22 or anthropometric23 parameters—have been put forth for identifying a priori the likelihood that a patient has SAHS or a certain AHI. Among the range of options, the ones most often studied have been clinical parameters. Several studies have evaluated their role as diagnostic tools through the creation of predictive models using multivariate analysis.14,15,18-21

Results have varied, although the models generally have high sensitivity (between 78% and 95%) and low specificity (between 41% and 63%) for AHI cut points higher than 10. Nevertheless, diagnosis is usually delayed significantly because the few sleep laboratories that are available are working at capacity. Considering the demonstrated relation between SAHS and a 2- to 7-fold greater likelihood of a patient having a traffic accident, an increased risk of cardiovascular disease or related death, and the great efficacy of continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) treatment on the main symptoms, the search for alternative diagnostic approaches would seem to be a priority, particularly in the effort to identify the most severe forms of the disease and initiate early treatment until a sleep study can confirm the diagnosis.

Therefore, the present study was designed to analyze the predictive value of such parameters relative to an AHI cut point of ≥30.

Material and Methods

All patients referred to our service with a suspected diagnosis of SAHS from January 2001 through August 2002 were studied. Our respiratory medicine department is part of a first-referral regional hospital that provides specialist care to a population of 60 000. SAHS was suspected if 1 of 3 cardinal symptoms was reported: chronic snoring, excessive daytime sleepiness, or observed apneas. Patients with daytime respiratory insufficiency or congestive heart failure were excluded. All patients were given a polygraph test using the AutoSet4® (AS) Portable Plus II (ResMed Corp, Sydney, Australia). When the AS auto-CPAP device is set in diagnostic mode, various respiratory variables and heart rate can be recorded. Nasal airflow is measured by a cannula with a pressure transducer and oxygen saturation by a digital pulse oximeter, apneas are counted according to the patient’s position by a body position sensor and thoracoabdominal movements are recorded by way of signals from an elastic band with a piezoelectric sensor. Automatically, using appropriate software (Autoview 98, version 2.0), the AS calculates the AHI as well as the apnea index and the hypopnea index by subtraction of each of the previous 2 variables. Although the AS does not permit the total apnea index to be changed, each apnea can be classified manually as obstructive, mixed, or central with information from recordings of respiratory effort provided by the thoracoabdominal band. A respiratory event was defined as apnea when nasal airflow fell more than 75% and as hypopnea when it fell between 50% and 75%, for longer than 10 seconds in each case. The AHI was defined as the number of respiratory events (apneas or hypopneas) per recording hour. All data were calculated in function of total recording time. All tests were performed in dedicated hospital rooms prepared by trained personnel. Patient characteristics (age and sex), anthropometric data (body mass index [BMI] in kg/m2 and neck circumference in centimeters), medical history (mainly cardiorespiratory signs such as hypertension, cardiac or cerebrovascular events, bronchial asthma, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease), signs and symptoms (daytime sleepiness by a validated Spanish language version of the Epworth test,25 the existence of observed apneas and their frequency, and the occurrence of asphyxia), and the referring caregiver’s subjective feeling (dichotomized) as to each patient’s probability of having an AHI ≥30. A diagnosis of hypertension was established according to the recommendations of the World Health Organization.26 The morning after the polygraph test, the patient filled in a form about his or her subjective feeling about the amount (in hours) and quality (good-average–bad) of sleep. Tests were considered valid if the patient reported having had at least 3 hours with a minimum sleep quality estimated as average. Tests were considered invalid if there was a technical failure or if the patient had disconnected the device and recording had not lasted at least 3 hours. In both cases, the polygraph was repeated. SAHS was diagnosed if the AHI was ≥10.

Statistical Analysis

The commercial statistics software packet SPSS 9.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA) was used. Quantitative variables were reported as means (SD) and qualitative variables as
absolute values followed by percentages between parentheses. Normal distribution was checked using a Kolgomorov-Smirnov test. The sample was divided into 2 groups: group 1 consisted of patients with an AHI ≥30 and group 2 consisted of those with an AHI <30. To select the appropriate variables for a logistic regression model to calculate the likelihood of an individual’s belonging to each of the 2 groups, a bivariate analysis was first performed for all variables studied using a Student t test or a χ² test for quantitative or qualitative variables, respectively. A P value less than .20 was established as significant for between-group comparisons for selecting terms that were initially candidates for the model. Once the initial variables were identified, quantitative terms were converted to qualitative ones to facilitate the clinical application of the model. Conversion was performed by constructing curves of diagnostic yield (a receiver operating characteristic curve) to determine the optimal cutoff points for each variable to maximize diagnostic yield. The statistical program was designed to eliminate terms entered into the model that presented colinearity such that they gave redundant information, selecting the best models with a P value of .05 for entering a variable and a P value of .10 for eliminating it by forward selection (Wald statistic). Once the definitive model was obtained, the P value (individual probability of belonging to group 1 or 2) that would establish the largest percentage of correct diagnoses was calculated. With these data, we calculated sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), posttest probability, negative predictive value (NPV), diagnostic accuracy, pretest probability or prevalence, along with their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI), and the diagnostic and predictive capacity of the chosen model. Finally the model was validated prospectively using the same terms for diagnostic yield.

Results

The number of patients initially enrolled was 329. Patients were excluded if they had daytime respiratory insufficiency (n=10), congestive heart failure (n=3), declined to participate (n=5), or died before the study took place (n=2). Therefore, 309 patients (76.4% men) entered into analysis. Their mean (SD) age was 58(13.45) years (range 24-83 years). Seventy-three percent were referred from primary care, 15% came from an otorhinolaryngologist, and 12% from a variety of internal medicine specialists. Data from 207 patients were analyzed retrospectively to construct a logistic regression model and the resulting equation was validated prospectively with data from the remaining 102 patients. No significant differences were found between the patient characteristics for the two groups, as shown in Table 1.

Bivariate analysis identified variables that were candidates for inclusion in the model from data available for the set of 207 patients initially analyzed (Table 2). BMI, the presence of observed and repeated apneas, the presence of hypertension, subjective clinical suspicion, Epworth test score, and the occurrence of asphyxia were significantly more frequent or higher in group I patients (AHI ≥30). To convert quantitative to qualitative variables, the cut points that best distinguished between groups 1 and 2 were a BMI ≥30 and an Epworth test score ≥11. The diagnostic values of individual variables entered into the model are shown in Table 3.

The best regression equation (n=207) was as follows:

\[
\text{logit } P = 2.5 \times \text{HT} + 1.5 \times \text{Epw} + \text{BMI} + 0.6 \times \text{Apr} - 2.1
\]

where logit P is log(1-P)/P, HT is the presence (1) or absence (0) of hypertension, Epw, is an Epworth test

### Table 1

Patient Characteristics for the Group Used To Create the Predictive Model and for the Group Used to Validate the Model Prospectively

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Regression Model Group (n=207)</th>
<th>Prospective Validation Group (n=102)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>57.8(10.3)</td>
<td>58.3(11.3)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male</td>
<td>75.4%</td>
<td>77.5%</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>31.1(4.8)</td>
<td>30.9(5.6)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck circumference, centimeters</td>
<td>42(2.9)</td>
<td>42(3.1)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>18(8.7%)</td>
<td>19(9.2%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD</td>
<td>38(18.4%)</td>
<td>21(20.6%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>92(44.6%)</td>
<td>48(47.1%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>19(9.2%)</td>
<td>8(7.8%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic heart disease</td>
<td>12(5.8%)</td>
<td>8(7.8%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic snoring</td>
<td>199(96.1%)</td>
<td>95(93.1%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apneas†</td>
<td>96(46.4%)</td>
<td>50(49.1%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epworth test</td>
<td>10.8(3.6)</td>
<td>11.1(4.1)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asphyxia</td>
<td>55(26.6%)</td>
<td>22(21.6%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHI</td>
<td>32.2(23.6)</td>
<td>30.9(26.1)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAI</td>
<td>4.6(2.3)</td>
<td>4.2(2.9)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsat90%</td>
<td>16.1(12.1)</td>
<td>14.8(14.2)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsat80%</td>
<td>4.2(4.2)</td>
<td>3.7(4.9)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHI ≥30</td>
<td>89(43%)</td>
<td>39(38.2%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Quantitative values are means (SD). Qualitative variables are absolute numbers (percentages). BMI indicates body mass index; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; AHI, apnea-hypopnea index; CAI, central apnea index; Tsat90%, duration of nocturnal oxygen saturation <90%; Tsat80%, duration of nocturnal oxygen saturation <80%; NS, not significant.

†Apneas that were observed and repeated.

### Table 2

Comparison of the Characteristics of Group 1 (AHI ≥30) and Group 2 (AHI <30)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group 1 (AHI≥30) (n=207)</th>
<th>Group 2 (AHI&lt;30) (n=102)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>58.6(10.1)</td>
<td>57.1(10.1)</td>
<td>.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>33.4(6.5)</td>
<td>28.9(3.2)</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck circumference, centimeters</td>
<td>42.2(3.7)</td>
<td>41.7(2.1)</td>
<td>.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>8(9%)</td>
<td>10(8.5%)</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD</td>
<td>20(23%)</td>
<td>18(19.5%)</td>
<td>.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>61(68.5%)</td>
<td>31(26.2%)</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>8(9%)</td>
<td>7(6.8%)</td>
<td>.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic heart disease</td>
<td>6(6.7%)</td>
<td>6(6.1%)</td>
<td>.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic snoring</td>
<td>86(96.6%)</td>
<td>113(95.7%)</td>
<td>.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apneas†</td>
<td>62(70%)</td>
<td>34(28.8%)</td>
<td>.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epworth test</td>
<td>12.3(3.6)</td>
<td>9.3(3.8)</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asphyxia</td>
<td>30(34%)</td>
<td>25(21.2%)</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Quantitative values are means (SD). Qualitative variables are absolute numbers (percentages). AHI indicates apnea-hypopnea index; BMI, body mass index; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; NS, not significant.

†Apneas observed and repeated.
score ≥11 (1) or <11 (0); Apr is the presence (1) or absence (0) of observed and repeated apneas, and BMI is ≥30 (1) or <30 (0). The levels of significance and the odds ratios (OR) and their corresponding 95% confidence intervals are shown in Table 4. The best cutoff point (best P value) for classifying individuals as belonging to group 1 or group 2 was .5. With these data the overall diagnostic capacity of the model was as follows: sensitivity 80.2% (95% CI, 75%-86%), specificity 93.4% (95% CI, 89%-95%), PPV 89.6% (95% CI, 84%-93%), and NPV 86.9% (95% CI, 81%-90%). The percentage of correctly classified patients was 87.9%, meaning there were 11 false positives and 14 false negatives. The false positives had significantly higher Epworth scores than the rest of the patients (15.3 vs 8.3, P<0.001), whereas there were significantly more hypertensive patients among the false negatives (79% vs 44.6%; P<0.008). Therefore, if the pretest probability of correctly classifying an individual (prevalence of patients with an AHI ≥30 on the polysomnographic study) was 43%, the posttest probability (after applying the logistic regression model) was 89.6%, indicating a 46.6% gain in correctly classified patients (P>.0001).

The following results were obtained when the model was applied prospectively (n=102): sensitivity 83.1% (95% CI 79%-91%), specificity 91.1% (95% CI 85%-96%), PPV 87.1% (95% CI 84%-95%), NPV 84.5% (95% CI 76%-91%), percentage correctly classified 87.3%, pretest probability 38.2%, posttest probability 87.1%, and gain in correctly classified patients 48.9% (P>.0001). There were no significant differences observed in the results for the group from whose data the logistic regression model was derived and those for the group used to validate the model.

### Discussion

Clinical parameters for patients referred to the respiratory medicine specialists with suspected diagnoses of SAHS had high predictive value for identifying those with an AHI ≥30. This finding may be useful for making early treatment decisions while waiting for PSG to confirm the diagnosis or at least for assigning priority to such patients when scheduling tests.
hypertension, observed apneas, BMI or excessive daytime sleepiness have been reported to have modest diagnostic value when studied individually using an AHI cutoff between 5 and 20, usually because those variables have low NPVs.\textsuperscript{16} Deegan et al.,\textsuperscript{15} however, found that although clinical variables studied individually have low NPVs and sensitivities, but very high PPVs and specificities, at low AHI cutoffs (≥10), NPV and sensitivity increase considerably while PPV and specificity decrease only moderately as higher cutoffs are chosen (≥20), with a consequent increase in overall diagnostic value. Our results suggest that the aforementioned variables have better-than-average value for distinguishing patients with an AHI ≥30, with correct diagnoses exceeding 65% in most cases. Nevertheless, because this improvement in diagnostic capability for high AHI cut points is still modest, the clinical application for individual variables is still scarce. Finally, the clinician’s subjective guess about the diagnosis did not have predictive value; in other studies, as in our study, the percentage of correct diagnosis generally fails to exceed 50% to 60%.

As a result, various combinations of clinical variables have been used in regression models to try to predict the presence of SAHS for different AHI cut points (usually between 5 and 20) in patients referred to sleep clinics.\textsuperscript{14,15,18,19,21} Results have varied depending mainly on the probability of having SAHS based on symptoms and on the AHI cut point used for diagnosis, although sensitivity has usually been high (>85%) and specificity low (<55%) for AHI cutoffs between 5 and 20.\textsuperscript{26} With such results, these equations may have value for ruling out the diagnosis but not for confirming it or for supporting early treatment.

The logistic regression model from our study showed excellent ability to predict which patients would have an AHI ≥30. The equation includes 4 variables typical of predictive models published to date: the presence of hypertension, the presence of observed and repeated apneas, the Epworth test score, and BMI. All of them are dichotomized, and the last two are relevant to cutoffs of 11 and 30, respectively. The OR for each variable seems to indicate that using higher than usual AHI cutoffs leads to a significant change in the relative weight of each variable’s predictive value, the greatest changes occurring for hypertension (OR=11.9) and a high Epworth test score (OR=4.47) as opposed to age, sex, presence of apneas, or anthropometric variables (neck circumference or BMI), although there is no change in which variables finally enter the model. It is important to point out that the presence of apneas only had predictive value when the sleeping partner indicated that they were repeated. It seems logical to think that most snorers experience apneic events normally and even that a few are pathological. The sleeping partner becomes aware of such events and reports them faithfully, even when apneas are not repeated often enough to define a high AHI. This situation can lead to overestimating the existence of isolated nighttime apneas. Our study would therefore not apply to subjects without companions who can become aware of the existence of such apneic events, for example to individuals who live or sleep alone (12% in our patient series).

Of the 25 patients (12.1%) who were not correctly classified by the model, 11 were false positives and 14 were false negatives. A careful look at these patients indicates that the false positives were different from the other patients in having very high Epworth test scores (over 15). All had been referred for PSG because of excessive daytime sleepiness in spite of having a negative AS because of the “relatively” low NPV of the AS (78%) in comparison with that of PSG in patients similar to those in our series. Three had increased upper airway resistance syndrome and were finally treated with CPAP. 4 had SAHS (with AHI findings of 19, 22, 33, and 29), and the remaining 4 had negative PSG findings and are undergoing tests to investigate the reason for pathological daytime hypersonmia. The false negatives were mostly hypertensive individuals. Hypertension in our study was not actively investigated but was recognized in the medical history.

The AS polygraphic study used instead of PSG assessment is logically of limited diagnostic value according to our study. However, it is important to point out that this device is widely validated in the literature for different cutoff points and prevalences of SAHS,\textsuperscript{28,30} The reasons for our model’s high diagnostic and predictive ability are complex. The explanation for the higher overall value of the model may lie in 2 features of our study: the high AHI selected as the cut point and the high pretest probability. For none of the variables in the final model was the sensitivity low; rather they all had moderate sensitivites, between 50% and 67%. If each variable is considered an individual diagnostic test, the use of several alongside one another to classify patients (as occurs in the use of predictive equations) would increase sensitivity and NPV considerably. The parallel decrease in specificity and PPV that would correspond to the increase in sensitivity might be compensated for, in the case of specificity, by the high cut point chosen to classify the patients and, in the case of PPV, by the high pretest probability for that cut point in our series. Finally, the high specificity values and PPV for the individual variables in the equation may influence the behavior of the model. Therefore, the diagnostic value of our model may change if it is applied to different patient populations.

In conclusion, we think that clinical parameters may have considerable predictive value for distinguishing patients with an AHI ≥30 among those referred to a respiratory medicine specialist, allowing the eventual mention of such parameters in SEPAR recommendations\textsuperscript{32} for the early treatment of SAHS. Such inclusion may save considerable time in initiating CPAP treatment for the patients who are most ill or may serve to give priority to severely ill patients when scheduling diagnostic tests.
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